### IP Forwarding Table MIB

#### Status of this Memo

This RFC specifies an IAB standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "IAB Official Protocol Standards" for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

#### Abstract

This memo defines a portion of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols in TCP/IP-based internets. In particular, it defines objects for managing routes in the IP Internet.

It is proposed that the ipRouteTable defined by MIB-II (RFC 1213) be deprecated and replaced with this table. This adds the ability to set or display multi-path routes, and varying routes by network management policy.

## Table of Contents

1. The Network Management Framework	1
2. Objects	2
2.1 Format of Definitions	
3. Overview	
3.1 Structure of MIB	
4. Definitions	
4.1 IP Forwarding Table	
5. Acknowledgements	11
6. References	11
7. Security Considerations	12
8. Author's Address	12

#### 1. The Network Management Framework

The Internet-standard Network Management Framework consists of three components. They are:

RFC 1155 which defines the SMI, the mechanisms used for describing and naming objects for the purpose of management. RFC 1212 defines a

Baker [Page 1]

more concise description mechanism, which is wholly consistent with the  ${\sf SMI.}$ 

RFC 1156 which defines MIB-I, the core set of managed objects for the Internet suite of protocols. RFC 1213 defines MIB-II, an evolution of MIB-I based on implementation experience and new operational requirements.

RFC 1157 which defines the SNMP, the protocol used for network access to managed objects.

The Framework permits new objects to be defined for the purpose of experimentation and evaluation.

#### 2. Objects

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the subset of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1) [7] defined in the SMI. In particular, each object has a name, a syntax, and an encoding. The name is an object identifier, an administratively assigned name, which specifies an object type. The object type together with an object instance serves to uniquely identify a specific instantiation of the object. For human convenience, we often use a textual string, termed the OBJECT DESCRIPTOR, to also refer to the object type.

The syntax of an object type defines the abstract data structure corresponding to that object type. The ASN.1 language is used for this purpose. However, the SMI [3] purposely restricts the ASN.1 constructs which may be used. These restrictions are explicitly made for simplicity.

The encoding of an object type is simply how that object type is represented using the object type's syntax. Implicitly tied to the notion of an object type's syntax and encoding is how the object type is represented when being transmitted on the network.

The SMI specifies the use of the basic encoding rules of ASN.1 [8], subject to the additional requirements imposed by the SNMP.

#### 2.1. Format of Definitions

Section 4 contains contains the specification of all object types contained in this MIB module. The object types are defined using the conventions defined in the SMI, as amended by the extensions specified in [9].

Baker [Page 2]

# 3. Overview

## 3.1. Structure of MIB

The IP Forwarding Table is quite analogous to the older ipRoute Table. The principal differences are:

- (1) It is somewhat re-organized, for aesthetic reasons,
- (2) It has the Next Hop Autonomous System Number, useful primarily to the administrators of regional networks,
- (3) It is instanced by Policy and Next Hop as well as by ultimate destination. Thus, multiple multipath routes can be managed, not just a single route, along with the circumstances under which the any given route might be chosen.

#### 4. Definitions

```
RFC1354-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN
IMPORTS
        Gauge, IpAddress
               FROM RFC1155-SMI
        mib-2, ip
               FROM RFC1213-MIB
        OBJECT-TYPE
               FROM RFC-1212;
-- This MIB module uses the extended OBJECT-TYPE macro as
-- defined in [9].
ipForward OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { ip 24 }
    ipForwardNumber OBJECT-TYPE
        SYNTAX Gauge
        ACCESS read-only
        STATUS
                mandatory
        DESCRIPTION
           "The number of current ipForwardTable entries
           that are not invalid."
        ::= { ipForward 1 }
-- IP Forwarding Table
-- The IP Forwarding Table obsoletes and replaces the ipRoute
-- Table current in MIB-I and MIB-II. It adds knowledge of
```

Baker [Page 3]

-- the autonomous system of the next hop, multiple next hop

```
-- support, and policy routing support.
    ipForwardTable OBJECT-TYPE
       SYNTAX SEQUENCE OF IPForwardEntry
       ACCESS not-accessible
       STATUS mandatory
       DESCRIPTION
          "This entity's IP Routing table."
       REFERENCE
          "RFC 1213 Section 6.6, The IP Group"
        ::= { ipForward 2 }
    ipForwardEntry OBJECT-TYPE
       SYNTAX IpForwardEntry
       ACCESS not-accessible
       STATUS mandatory
       DESCRIPTION
          "A particular route to a particular destina-
          tion, under a particular policy."
       INDEX {
           ipForwardDest,
           ipForwardProto,
           ipForwardPolicy,
           ipForwardNextHop
        ::= { ipForwardTable 1 }
    IpForwardEntry ::=
       SEQUENCE {
           ipForwardDest
               IpAddress,
           ipForwardMask
               IpAddress,
           ipForwardPolicy
               INTEGER,
           ipForwardNextHop
               IpAddress,
           ipForwardIfIndex
               INTEGER,
           ipForwardType
                INTEGER,
           ipForwardProto
                INTEGER,
           ipForwardAge
```

Baker [Page 4]

```
INTEGER,
       ipForwardInfo
           OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
       ipForwardNextHopAS
           INTEGER,
       ipForwardMetric1
           INTEGER,
       ipForwardMetric2
           INTEGER,
       ipForwardMetric3
           INTEGER,
       ipForwardMetric4
          INTEGER,
       ipForwardMetric5
           INTEGER
   }
ipForwardDest OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX IpAddress
   ACCESS read-only
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "The destination IP address of this route.
      entry with a value of 0.0.0.0 is considered a
      default route.
      This object may not take a Multicast (Class D)
      address value.
      Any assignment (implicit or otherwise) of an
      instance of this object to a value x must be
      rejected if the bitwise logical-AND of x with
      the value of the corresponding instance of the
      ipForwardMask object is not equal to x."
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 1 }
ipForwardMask OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX IpAddress
           read-write
   ACCESS
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "Indicate the mask to be logical-ANDed with the
      destination address before being compared to
      the value in the ipForwardDest field.
      those systems that do not support arbitrary
      subnet masks, an agent constructs the value of
      the ipForwardMask by reference to the IP Ad-
```

Baker [Page 5]

dress Class.

Any assignment (implicit or otherwise) of an instance of this object to a value x must be rejected if the bitwise logical-AND of x with the value of the corresponding instance of the ipForwardDest object is not equal to ipForwardDest."

- -- The following convention is included for specification
- -- of TOS Field contents. At this time, the Host Requirements
- -- and the Router Requirements documents disagree on the width
- -- of the TOS field. This mapping describes the Router
- -- Requirements mapping, and leaves room to widen the TOS field
- -- without impact to fielded systems.

ipForwardPolicy OBJECT-TYPE

SYNTAX INTEGER

ACCESS read-only

STATUS mandatory

DESCRIPTION

"The general set of conditions that would cause the selection of one multipath route (set of next hops for a given destination) is referred to as 'policy'.

Unless the mechanism indicated by ipForwardProto specifies otherwise, the policy specifier is the IP TOS Field. The encoding of IP TOS is as specified by the following convention. Zero indicates the default path if no more specific policy applies.

++	+	+
PRECEDENCE	TYPE OF SERVICE	0
++	++	+

IP TOS						IP TOS						
F	ie:	Ld		Pol	icy	]	Fi	le]	Ld		Ро	licy
Contents Cod		ode	(	Contents				Code				
0	0	0	0	==>	0	(	0	0	0	1	==>	2
0	0	1	0	==>	4	(	0	0	1	1	==>	6
0	1	0	0	==>	8	(	0	1	0	1	==>	10

Baker [Page 6]

```
0 1 1 0 ==> 12
                               0 1 1 1 ==> 14
          1 0 0 0 ==> 16
                                1 0 0 1 ==>
                                              18
          1 0 1 0 ==> 20
1 1 0 0 ==> 24
1 1 1 0 ==> 28
                                1 0 1 1 ==>
                                              22
                                1 1 0 1 ==> 26
                                1 1 1 1 ==> 30
      Protocols defining 'policy' otherwise must ei-
      ther define a set of values which are valid for
      this object or must implement an integer-
      instanced policy table for which this object's
      value acts as an index."
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 3 }
ipForwardNextHop OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX IpAddress
          read-only
   ACCESS
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "On remote routes, the address of the next sys-
      tem en route; Otherwise, 0.0.0.0."
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 4 }
ipForwardIfIndex OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER
   ACCESS
            read-write
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "The ifIndex value which identifies the local
      interface through which the next hop of this
      route should be reached."
   DEFVAL { 0 }
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 5 }
ipForwardType OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER {
               other
                        (1), -- not specified by this MIB
               invalid (2), -- logically deleted
               local (3), -- local interface
               remote (4) -- remote destination
           read-write
   ACCESS
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "The type of route. Note that local(3) refers
      to a route for which the next hop is the final
```

Baker [Page 7]

destination; remote(4) refers to a route for which the next hop is not the final destination.

Setting this object to the value invalid(2) has the effect of invalidating the corresponding entry in the ipForwardTable object. That is, it effectively disassociates the destination identified with said entry from the route identified with said entry. It is an implementation-specific matter as to whether the agent removes an invalidated entry from the table. Accordingly, management stations must be prepared to receive tabular information from agents that corresponds to entries not currently in use. Proper interpretation of such en-ForwardType object."

```
tries requires examination of the relevant ip-
   DEFVAL { invalid }
    ::= { ipForwardEntry 6 }
ipForwardProto OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER {
               other
                         (1), -- not specified
               local
                         (2), -- local interface
               netmgmt (3), -- static route
                         (4), -- result of ICMP Redirect
                icmp
                        -- the following are all dynamic
                        -- routing protocols
                        (5), -- Exterior Gateway Protocol
                        (6), -- Gateway-Gateway Protocol
                        (7), -- FuzzBall HelloSpeak
                        (8), -- Berkeley RIP or RIP-II
               rip
               is-is (9), -- Dual IS-IS
es-is (10), -- ISO 9542
               ciscoIgrp (11), -- Cisco IGRP
               bbnSpfIgp (12), -- BBN SPF IGP
                ospf (13), -- Open Shortest Path First
               bgp (14), -- Border Gateway Protocol idpr (15) -- Interport
                        (15) -- InterDomain Policy Routing
            read-only
   ACCESS
   STATUS
            mandatory
      "The routing mechanism via which this route was
      learned. Inclusion of values for gateway rout-
```

ing protocols is not intended to imply that

Baker [Page 8]

```
hosts should support those protocols."
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 7 }
ipForwardAge OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER
   ACCESS read-only
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "The number of seconds since this route was
      last updated or otherwise determined to be
      correct. Note that no semantics of 'too old'
      can be implied except through knowledge of the
      routing protocol by which the route was
      learned."
   DEFVAL { 0 }
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 8 }
ipForwardInfo OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX OBJECT IDENTIFIER
   ACCESS read-write
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "A reference to MIB definitions specific to the
      particular routing protocol which is responsi-
      ble for this route, as determined by the value
      specified in the route's ipForwardProto value.
      If this information is not present, its value
      should be set to the OBJECT IDENTIFIER { 0 0 },
      which is a syntactically valid object identif-
      ier, and any implementation conforming to ASN.1
      and the Basic Encoding Rules must be able to
      generate and recognize this value."
   DEFVAL { { 0 0 } } -- 0.0
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 9 }
ipForwardNextHopAS OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER
          read-write
   ACCESS
          mandatory
   STATUS
   DESCRIPTION
      "The Autonomous System Number of the Next Hop.
      When this is unknown or not relevant to the
      protocol indicated by ipForwardProto, zero."
   DEFVAL { 0 }
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 10 }
```

Baker [Page 9]

```
ipForwardMetric1 OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER
   ACCESS
            read-write
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "The primary routing metric for this route.
      The semantics of this metric are determined by
      the routing-protocol specified in the route's
      ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not
      used, its value should be set to -1."
   DEFVAL { -1 }
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 11 }
ipForwardMetric2 OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER
   ACCESS read-write
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "An alternate routing metric for this route.
      The semantics of this metric are determined by
      the routing-protocol specified in the route's
      ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not
      used, its value should be set to -1."
   DEFVAL { -1 }
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 12 }
ipForwardMetric3 OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER
   ACCESS read-write
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "An alternate routing metric for this route.
      The semantics of this metric are determined by
      the routing-protocol specified in the route's
      ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not
   used, its value should be set to -1." DEFVAL \{-1\}
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 13 }
ipForwardMetric4 OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER
   ACCESS read-write
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "An alternate routing metric for this route.
```

Baker [Page 10]

```
The semantics of this metric are determined by
      the routing-protocol specified in the route's
      ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not
      used, its value should be set to -1."
   DEFVAL \{-1\}
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 14 }
ipForwardMetric5 OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX INTEGER
   ACCESS read-write
   STATUS mandatory
   DESCRIPTION
      "An alternate routing metric for this route.
      The semantics of this metric are determined by
      the routing-protocol specified in the route's
      ipForwardProto value. If this metric is not
      used, its value should be set to -1."
   DEFVAL \{-1\}
   ::= { ipForwardEntry 15 }
```

END

#### 5. Acknowledgements

This document was produced by the Router Requirements Working Group, of which Phil Almquist is the chair.

Chris Gunner (DEC) and Keith McCloghrie (Hughes LAN Systems) made significant comments on it, and it is better for their input.

# 6. References

- [1] Cerf, V., "IAB Recommendations for the Development of Internet Network Management Standards", RFC 1052, NRI, April 1988.
- [2] Cerf, V., "Report of the Second Ad Hoc Network Management Review Group", RFC 1109, NRI, August 1989.
- [3] Rose M., and K. McCloghrie, "Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based internets", RFC 1155, Performance Systems International, Hughes LAN Systems, May 1990.
- [4] McCloghrie K., and M. Rose, "Management Information Base for Network Management of TCP/IP-based internets", RFC 1156, Hughes LAN Systems, Performance Systems International, May 1990.

Baker [Page 11]

- [5] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M., and J. Davin, "Simple Network Management Protocol", RFC 1157, SNMP Research, Performance Systems International, Performance Systems International, MIT Laboratory for Computer Science, May 1990.
- [6] McCloghrie K., and M. Rose, Editors, "Management Information Base for Network Management of TCP/IP-based internets", RFC 1213, Performance Systems International, March 1991.
- [7] Information processing systems Open Systems Interconnection Specification of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1), International Organization for Standardization, International Standard 8824, December 1987.
- [8] Information processing systems Open Systems Interconnection -Specification of Basic Encoding Rules for Abstract Notation One (ASN.1), International Organization for Standardization, International Standard 8825, December 1987.
- [9] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, Editors, "Concise MIB Definitions", RFC 1212, Performance Systems International, Hughes LAN Systems, March 1991.
- [10] McCloghrie K., and M. Rose, Editors, "Management Information Base for Network Management of TCP/IP-based internets", RFC 1213, Performance Systems International, March 1991.
- [11] Baker, F., and R. Coltun, "OSPF Version 2 Management Information Base", RFC 1253, ACC, Computer Science Center, August 1991.
- 7. Security Considerations

Security issues are not discussed in this memo.

8. Author's Address

Fred Baker Advanced Computer Communications 315 Bollay Drive Santa Barbara, CA 93117-6014

Phone: (805) 685-4455

EMail: fbaker@acc.com

Baker [Page 12]