

Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)  
Request for Comments: 7134  
Updates: 4412  
Category: Standards Track  
ISSN: 2070-1721

B. Rosen  
NeuStar  
March 2014

The Management Policy of the Resource Priority Header (RPH) Registry  
Changed to "IETF Review"

Abstract

RFC 4412 defines the "Resource-Priority Namespaces" and "Resource-Priority Priority-values" registries. The management policy of these registries is "Standards Action". This document normatively updates RFC 4412 to change the management policy of these registries to "IETF Review".

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7134>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## 1. Introduction

[RFC4412] defines the "Resource-Priority Namespaces" and "Resource-Priority Priority-values" registries. The management policy of these registries is "Standards Action" [RFC5226]. Experience suggests that a document that only defines a new namespace with its priorities, and does not create new protocol semantics, should not be a Standards-Track document. Therefore, this document updates [RFC4412] to change the management policy of the registries to "IETF Review".

## 2. Security Considerations

This document does not introduce any security considerations beyond those discussed in [RFC4412].

## 3. IANA Considerations

IANA has changed the management policy of the "Resource-Priority Namespaces" and "Resource-Priority Priority-values" registries to "IETF Review" [RFC5226].

## 4. Normative References

[RFC4412] Schulzrinne, H. and J. Polk, "Communications Resource Priority for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 4412, February 2006.

[RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 5226, May 2008.

## Author's Address

Brian Rosen  
NeuStar  
470 Conrad Dr.  
Mars, PA 16046  
US

Phone: +1 724 382 1051  
EMail: br@brianrosen.net