
Stream: Internet Research Task Force (IRTF)
RFC: [9497](#)
Category: Informational
Published: December 2023
ISSN: 2070-1721
Authors: A. Davidson A. Faz-Hernandez N. Sullivan C. A. Wood
 Brave Software *Cloudflare, Inc.* *Cloudflare, Inc.* *Cloudflare, Inc.*

RFC 9497

Oblivious Pseudorandom Functions (OPRFs) Using Prime-Order Groups

Abstract

An Oblivious Pseudorandom Function (OPRF) is a two-party protocol between a client and a server for computing the output of a Pseudorandom Function (PRF). The server provides the PRF private key, and the client provides the PRF input. At the end of the protocol, the client learns the PRF output without learning anything about the PRF private key, and the server learns neither the PRF input nor output. An OPRF can also satisfy a notion of 'verifiability', called a VOPRF. A VOPRF ensures clients can verify that the server used a specific private key during the execution of the protocol. A VOPRF can also be partially oblivious, called a POPRF. A POPRF allows clients and servers to provide public input to the PRF computation. This document specifies an OPRE, VOPRE, and POPRF instantiated within standard prime-order groups, including elliptic curves. This document is a product of the Crypto Forum Research Group (CFRG) in the IRTF.

Status of This Memo

This document is not an Internet Standards Track specification; it is published for informational purposes.

This document is a product of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). The IRTF publishes the results of Internet-related research and development activities. These results might not be suitable for deployment. This RFC represents the consensus of the Crypto Forum Research Group of the Internet Research Task Force (IRTF). Documents approved for publication by the IRSG are not candidates for any level of Internet Standard; see Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9497>.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2023 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	4
1.1. Requirements Language	5
1.2. Notation and Terminology	5
2. Preliminaries	6
2.1. Prime-Order Group	6
2.2. Discrete Logarithm Equivalence Proofs	7
2.2.1. Proof Generation	8
2.2.2. Proof Verification	10
3. Protocol	12
3.1. Configuration	14
3.2. Key Generation and Context Setup	15
3.2.1. Deterministic Key Generation	16
3.3. Online Protocol	17
3.3.1. OPRF Protocol	18
3.3.2. VOPRF Protocol	20
3.3.3. POPRF Protocol	22
4. Ciphersuites	26
4.1. OPRF(ristretto255, SHA-512)	27
4.2. OPRF(dec448, SHAKE-256)	28
4.3. OPRF(P-256, SHA-256)	28
4.4. OPRF(P-384, SHA-384)	29

4.5. OPRF(P-521, SHA-512)	30
4.6. Future Ciphersuites	31
4.7. Random Scalar Generation	32
4.7.1. Rejection Sampling	32
4.7.2. Random Number Generation Using Extra Random Bits	32
5. Application Considerations	32
5.1. Input Limits	32
5.2. External Interface Recommendations	33
5.3. Error Considerations	33
5.4. POPRF Public Input	33
6. IANA Considerations	34
7. Security Considerations	34
7.1. Security Properties	34
7.2. Security Assumptions	35
7.2.1. OPRF and VOPRF Assumptions	35
7.2.2. POPRF Assumptions	36
7.2.3. Static Diffie-Hellman Attack and Security Limits	36
7.3. Domain Separation	37
7.4. Timing Leaks	37
8. References	37
8.1. Normative References	37
8.2. Informative References	37
Appendix A. Test Vectors	39
A.1. ristretto255-SHA512	40
A.1.1. OPRF Mode	40
A.1.2. VOPRF Mode	40
A.1.3. POPRF Mode	42
A.2. decaf448-SHAKE256	43
A.2.1. OPRF Mode	43
A.2.2. VOPRF Mode	44

A.2.3. POPRF Mode	46
A.3. P256-SHA256	48
A.3.1. OPRF Mode	48
A.3.2. VOPRF Mode	49
A.3.3. POPRF Mode	50
A.4. P384-SHA384	52
A.4.1. OPRF Mode	52
A.4.2. VOPRF Mode	53
A.4.3. POPRF Mode	54
A.5. P521-SHA512	56
A.5.1. OPRF Mode	56
A.5.2. VOPRF Mode	57
A.5.3. POPRF Mode	59
Acknowledgements	61
Authors' Addresses	61

1. Introduction

A Pseudorandom Function (PRF) $F(k, x)$ is an efficiently computable function taking a private key k and a value x as input. This function is pseudorandom if the keyed function $K(_) = F(k, _)$ is indistinguishable from a randomly sampled function acting on the same domain and range as $K(_)$. An Oblivious PRF (OPRF) is a two-party protocol between a server and a client, wherein the server holds a PRF key k and the client holds some input x . The protocol allows both parties to cooperate in computing $F(k, x)$, such that the client learns $F(k, x)$ without learning anything about k and the server does not learn anything about x or $F(k, x)$. A Verifiable OPRF (VOPRF) is an OPRF, wherein the server also proves to the client that $F(k, x)$ was produced by the key k corresponding to the server's public key, which the client knows. A Partially Oblivious PRF (POPRF) is a variant of a VOPRF, where the client and server interact in computing $F(k, x, y)$, for some PRF F with server-provided key k , client-provided input x , and public input y , and the client receives proof that $F(k, x, y)$ was computed using k corresponding to the public key that the client knows. A POPRF with fixed input y is functionally equivalent to a VOPRF.

OPRFs have a variety of applications, including password-protected secret sharing schemes [JKKX16], privacy-preserving password stores [SJKS17], and password-authenticated key exchange (PAKE) [OPAQUE]. Verifiable OPRFs are necessary in some applications, such as Privacy Pass [PRIVACY-PASS]. Verifiable OPRFs have also been used for password-protected secret sharing schemes, such as that of [JKK14].

This document specifies OPRF, VOPRF, and POPRF protocols built upon prime-order groups. The document describes each protocol variant, along with application considerations, and their security properties.

This document represents the consensus of the Crypto Forum Research Group (CFRG). It is not an IETF product and is not a standard.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

1.2. Notation and Terminology

The following functions and notation are used throughout the document.

- For any object x , we write $\text{len}(x)$ to denote its length in bytes.
- For two-byte arrays x and y , write $x \parallel y$ to denote their concatenation.
- $\text{I2OSP}(x, \text{xLen})$ converts a nonnegative integer x into a byte array of specified length xLen , as described in [RFC8017]. Note that this function returns a byte array in big-endian byte order.
- The notation $T \ U[N]$ refers to an array called U , containing N items of type T . The type `opaque` means one single byte of uninterpreted data. Items of the array are zero-indexed and referred to as $U[j]$, such that $0 \leq j < N$.

All algorithms and procedures described in this document are laid out in a Python-like pseudocode. Each function takes a set of inputs and parameters and produces a set of output values. Parameters become constant values once the protocol variant and the ciphersuite are fixed.

The `PrivateInput` data type refers to inputs that are known only to the client in the protocol, whereas the `PublicInput` data type refers to inputs that are known to both the client and server in the protocol. Both `PrivateInput` and `PublicInput` are opaque byte strings of arbitrary length no larger than $2^{16} - 1$ bytes. This length restriction exists because `PublicInput` and `PrivateInput` values are length-prefixed with two bytes before use throughout the protocol.

String values, such as "DeriveKeyPair", "Seed-", and "Finalize", are ASCII string literals.

The following terms are used throughout this document.

PRF: Pseudorandom Function

OPRF: Oblivious Pseudorandom Function

VOPRF: Verifiable Oblivious Pseudorandom Function

POPRF: Partially Oblivious Pseudorandom Function

Client: Protocol initiator. Learns PRF evaluation as the output of the protocol.

Server: Computes the PRF using a private key. Learns nothing about the client's input or output.

2. Preliminaries

The protocols in this document have two primary dependencies:

Group: A prime-order group implementing the API described below in [Section 2.1](#). See [Section 4](#) for specific instances of groups.

Hash: A cryptographic hash function whose output length is N_h bytes.

[Section 4](#) specifies ciphersuites as combinations of Group and Hash.

2.1. Prime-Order Group

In this document, we assume the construction of an additive, prime-order group, denoted `Group`, for performing all mathematical operations. In prime-order groups, any element (other than the identity) can generate the other elements of the group. Usually, one element is fixed and defined as the group generator. Such groups are uniquely determined by the choice of the prime p that defines the order of the group. (However, different representations of the group for a single p may exist. [Section 4](#) lists specific groups that indicate both the order and representation.)

The fundamental group operation is addition `+` with identity element `I`. For any elements `A` and `B` of the group, `A + B = B + A` is also a member of the group. Also, for any `A` in the group, there exists an element `-A`, such that `A + (-A) = (-A) + A = I`. Scalar multiplication by `r` is equivalent to the repeated application of the group operation on an element `A` with itself `r - 1` times; this is denoted as `r * A = A + ... + A`. For any element `A`, `p * A = I`. The case when the scalar multiplication is performed on the group generator is denoted as `ScalarMultGen(r)`. Given two elements `A` and `B`, the discrete logarithm problem is to find an integer `k`, such that `B = k * A`. Thus, `k` is the discrete logarithm of `B` with respect to the base `A`. The set of scalars corresponds to $\text{GF}(p)$, a prime field of order p , and is represented as the set of integers defined by $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$. This document uses types `Element` and `Scalar` to denote elements of the group and its set of scalars, respectively.

We now detail a number of member functions that can be invoked on a prime-order group.

`Order()`: Outputs the order of the group (i.e., p).

Identity(): Outputs the identity element of the group (i.e., I).

Generator(): Outputs the generator element of the group.

HashToGroup(x): Deterministically maps an array of bytes x to an element of Group. The map must ensure that, for any adversary receiving $R = \text{HashToGroup}(x)$, it is computationally difficult to reverse the mapping. This function is optionally parameterized by a domain separation tag (DST); see [Section 4](#). Security properties of this function are described in [\[RFC9380\]](#).

HashToScalar(x): Deterministically maps an array of bytes x to an element in $\text{GF}(p)$. This function is optionally parameterized by a DST; see [Section 4](#). Security properties of this function are described in [\[RFC9380\]](#), [Section 10.5](#).

RandomScalar(): Chooses at random a nonzero element in $\text{GF}(p)$.

ScalarInverse(s): Returns the inverse of input Scalar s on $\text{GF}(p)$.

SerializeElement(A): Maps an Element A to a canonical byte array buf of fixed-length N_e .

DeserializeElement(buf): Attempts to map a byte array buf to an Element A and fails if the input is not the valid canonical byte representation of an element of the group. This function can raise a `DeserializeError` if deserialization fails or A is the identity element of the group; see [Section 4](#) for group-specific input validation steps.

SerializeScalar(s): Maps Scalar s to a canonical byte array buf of fixed-length N_s .

DeserializeScalar(buf): Attempts to map a byte array buf to Scalar s . This function can raise a `DeserializeError` if deserialization fails; see [Section 4](#) for group-specific input validation steps.

[Section 4](#) contains details for the implementation of this interface for different prime-order groups instantiated over elliptic curves. In particular, for some choices of elliptic curves, e.g., those detailed in [\[RFC7748\]](#), which require accounting for cofactors, [Section 4](#) describes required steps necessary to ensure the resulting group is of prime order.

2.2. Discrete Logarithm Equivalence Proofs

A proof of knowledge allows a prover to convince a verifier that some statement is true. If the prover can generate a proof without interaction with the verifier, the proof is noninteractive. If the verifier learns nothing other than whether the statement claimed by the prover is true or false, the proof is zero-knowledge.

This section describes a noninteractive, zero-knowledge proof for discrete logarithm equivalence (DLEQ), which is used in the construction of VOPRF and POPRF. A DLEQ proof demonstrates that two pairs of group elements have the same discrete logarithm without revealing the discrete logarithm.

The DLEQ proof resembles the Chaum-Pedersen [\[ChaumPedersen\]](#) proof, which is shown to be zero-knowledge by Jarecki, et al. [\[JKK14\]](#) and is noninteractive after applying the Fiat-Shamir transform [\[FS00\]](#). Furthermore, Davidson, et al. [\[DGSTV18\]](#) showed a proof system for batching

DLEQ proofs that has constant-size proofs with respect to the number of inputs. The specific DLEQ proof system presented below follows this latter construction with two modifications: (1) the transcript used to generate the seed includes more context information and (2) the individual challenges for each element in the proof is derived from a seed-prefixed hash-to-scalar invocation, rather than being sampled from a seeded Pseudorandom Number Generator (PRNG). The description is split into two subsections: one for generating the proof, which is done by servers in the verifiable protocols, and another for verifying the proof, which is done by clients in the protocol.

2.2.1. Proof Generation

Generating a proof is done with the `GenerateProof` function, as defined below. Given Element values A and B , two non-empty lists of Element values C and D of length m , and Scalar k , this function produces a proof that $k * A == B$ and $k * C[i] == D[i]$ for each i in $[0, \dots, m - 1]$. The output is a value of type `Proof`, which is a tuple of two Scalar values. We use the notation `proof[0]` and `proof[1]` to denote the first and second elements in this tuple, respectively.

`GenerateProof` accepts lists of inputs to amortize the cost of proof generation. Applications can take advantage of this functionality to produce a single, constant-sized proof for m DLEQ inputs, rather than m proofs for m DLEQ inputs.

Input :

```
Scalar k
Element A
Element B
Element C[m]
Element D[m]
```

Output:

```
Proof proof
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

```
def GenerateProof(k, A, B, C, D):
    (M, Z) = ComputeCompositesFast(k, B, C, D)

    r = G.RandomScalar()
    t2 = r * A
    t3 = r * M

    Bm = G.SerializeElement(B)
    a0 = G.SerializeElement(M)
    a1 = G.SerializeElement(Z)
    a2 = G.SerializeElement(t2)
    a3 = G.SerializeElement(t3)

    challengeTranscript =
        I2OSP(len(Bm), 2) || Bm ||
        I2OSP(len(a0), 2) || a0 ||
        I2OSP(len(a1), 2) || a1 ||
        I2OSP(len(a2), 2) || a2 ||
        I2OSP(len(a3), 2) || a3 ||
        "Challenge"

    c = G.HashToScalar(challengeTranscript)
    s = r - c * k

    return [c, s]
```

The helper function `ComputeCompositesFast` is as defined below and is an optimization of the `ComputeComposites` function for servers since they have knowledge of the private key.

Input :

```
Scalar k
Element B
Element C[m]
Element D[m]
```

Output :

```
Element M
Element Z
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
PublicInput contextString
```

```
def ComputeCompositesFast(k, B, C, D):
    Bm = G.SerializeElement(B)
    seedDST = "Seed-" || contextString
    seedTranscript =
        I2OSP(len(Bm), 2) || Bm ||
        I2OSP(len(seedDST), 2) || seedDST
    seed = Hash(seedTranscript)

    M = G.Identity()
    for i in range(m):
        Ci = G.SerializeElement(C[i])
        Di = G.SerializeElement(D[i])
        compositeTranscript =
            I2OSP(len(seed), 2) || seed || I2OSP(i, 2) ||
            I2OSP(len(Ci), 2) || Ci ||
            I2OSP(len(Di), 2) || Di ||
            "Composite"

        di = G.HashToScalar(compositeTranscript)
        M = di * C[i] + M

    Z = k * M

    return (M, Z)
```

When used in the protocol described in [Section 3](#), the parameter `contextString` is as defined in [Section 3.2](#).

2.2.2. Proof Verification

Verifying a proof is done with the `VerifyProof` function, as defined below. This function takes Element values `A` and `B`, two non-empty lists of Element values `C` and `D` of length `m`, and a Proof value output from `GenerateProof`. It outputs a single boolean value indicating whether or not the proof is valid for the given DLEQ inputs. Note this function can verify proofs on lists of inputs whenever the proof was generated as a batched DLEQ proof with the same inputs.

Input :

```
Element A
Element B
Element C[m]
Element D[m]
Proof proof
```

Output:

```
boolean verified
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

```
def VerifyProof(A, B, C, D, proof):
    (M, Z) = ComputeComposites(B, C, D)
    c = proof[0]
    s = proof[1]

    t2 = ((s * A) + (c * B))
    t3 = ((s * M) + (c * Z))

    Bm = G.SerializeElement(B)
    a0 = G.SerializeElement(M)
    a1 = G.SerializeElement(Z)
    a2 = G.SerializeElement(t2)
    a3 = G.SerializeElement(t3)

    challengeTranscript =
        I2OSP(len(Bm), 2) || Bm ||
        I2OSP(len(a0), 2) || a0 ||
        I2OSP(len(a1), 2) || a1 ||
        I2OSP(len(a2), 2) || a2 ||
        I2OSP(len(a3), 2) || a3 ||
        "Challenge"

    expectedC = G.HashToScalar(challengeTranscript)
    verified = (expectedC == c)

    return verified
```

The definition of `ComputeComposites` is given below.

Input :

```
Element B
Element C[m]
Element D[m]
```

Output :

```
Element M
Element Z
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
PublicInput contextString
```

```
def ComputeComposites(B, C, D):
    Bm = G.SerializeElement(B)
    seedDST = "Seed-" || contextString
    seedTranscript =
        I2OSP(len(Bm), 2) || Bm ||
        I2OSP(len(seedDST), 2) || seedDST
    seed = Hash(seedTranscript)

    M = G.Identity()
    Z = G.Identity()
    for i in range(m):
        Ci = G.SerializeElement(C[i])
        Di = G.SerializeElement(D[i])
        compositeTranscript =
            I2OSP(len(seed), 2) || seed || I2OSP(i, 2) ||
            I2OSP(len(Ci), 2) || Ci ||
            I2OSP(len(Di), 2) || Di ||
            "Composite"

        di = G.HashToScalar(compositeTranscript)
        M = di * C[i] + M
        Z = di * D[i] + Z

    return (M, Z)
```

When used in the protocol described in [Section 3](#), the parameter `contextString` is as defined in [Section 3.2](#).

3. Protocol

In this section, we define and describe three protocol variants referred to as the OPRF, VOPRF, and POPRF modes. Each of these variants involves two messages between the client and server, but they differ slightly in terms of the security properties; see [Section 7.1](#) for more information. A high-level description of the functionality of each mode follows.

In the OPRF mode, a client and server interact to compute $\text{output} = F(\text{skS}, \text{input})$, where `input` is the client's private input, `skS` is the server's private key, and `output` is the OPRF output. After the execution of the protocol, the client learns the output and the server learns nothing. This interaction is shown below.




Figure 1: OPRF Protocol Overview

In the VOPRF mode, the client additionally receives proof that the server used `skS` in computing the function. To achieve verifiability, as in [JKK14], the server provides a zero-knowledge proof that the key provided as input by the server in the `BlindEvaluate` function is the same key as is used to produce the server's public key, `pkS`, which the client receives as input to the protocol. This proof does not reveal the server's private key to the client. This interaction is shown below.




Figure 2: VOPRF Protocol Overview with Additional Proof

The POPRF mode extends the VOPRF mode such that the client and server can additionally provide the public input `info`, which is used in computing the PRF. That is, the client and server interact to compute $\text{output} = F(\text{skS}, \text{input}, \text{info})$, as is shown below.

```

Client(input, pkS, info) <---- pkS ----- Server(skS, pkS, info)
-----
blind, blindedElement, tweakedKey = Blind(input, info, pkS)
                                     blindedElement
                                     ----->
evaluatedElement, proof = BlindEvaluate(skS, blindedElement,
                                     info)
                                     evaluatedElement, proof
                                     <-----
output = Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement,
                 blindedElement, proof, info, tweakedKey)

```

Figure 3: POPRF Protocol Overview with Additional Public Input

Each protocol consists of an offline setup phase and an online phase, as described in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, respectively. Configuration details for the offline phase are described in Section 3.1.

3.1. Configuration

Each of the three protocol variants are identified with a one-byte value (in hexadecimal):

Mode	Value
modeOPRF	0x00
modeVOPRF	0x01
modePOPRF	0x02

Table 1: Identifiers for Protocol Variants

Additionally, each protocol variant is instantiated with a ciphersuite or suite. Each ciphersuite is identified with an ASCII string identifier, referred to as identifier; see Section 4 for the set of initial ciphersuite values.

The mode and ciphersuite identifier values are combined to create a "context string" used throughout the protocol with the following function:

```

def CreateContextString(mode, identifier):
    return "OPRFV1-" || I2OSP(mode, 1) || "-" || identifier

```

3.2. Key Generation and Context Setup

In the offline setup phase, the server generates a fresh, random key pair (sk_S , pk_S). There are two ways to generate this key pair. The first of which is using the `GenerateKeyPair` function described below.

Input: None

Output:

Scalar sk_S
Element pk_S

Parameters:

Group G

```
def GenerateKeyPair():
    skS = G.RandomScalar()
    pkS = G.ScalarMultGen(skS)
    return skS, pkS
```

The second way to generate the key pair is via the deterministic key generation function `DeriveKeyPair`, as described in [Section 3.2.1](#). Applications and implementations can use either method in practice.

Also during the offline setup phase, both the client and server create a context used for executing the online phase of the protocol after agreeing on a mode and ciphersuite identifier. The context, such as `OPRFServerContext`, is an implementation-specific data structure that stores a context string and the relevant key material for each party.

The OPRF variant server and client contexts are created as follows:

```
def SetupOPRFServer(identifier, skS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modeOPRF, identifier)
    return OPRFServerContext(contextString, skS)

def SetupOPRFClient(identifier):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modeOPRF, identifier)
    return OPRFClientContext(contextString)
```

The VOPRF variant server and client contexts are created as follows:

```
def SetupVOPRFServer(identifier, skS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modeVOPRF, identifier)
    return VOPRFServerContext(contextString, skS)

def SetupVOPRFClient(identifier, pkS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modeVOPRF, identifier)
    return VOPRFClientContext(contextString, pkS)
```

The POPRF variant server and client contexts are created as follows:

```
def SetupPOPRFServer(identifier, skS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modePOPRF, identifier)
    return POPRFServerContext(contextString, skS)

def SetupPOPRFClient(identifier, pkS):
    contextString = CreateContextString(modePOPRF, identifier)
    return POPRFClientContext(contextString, pkS)
```

3.2.1. Deterministic Key Generation

This section describes a deterministic key generation function, `DeriveKeyPair`. It accepts a seed of 32 bytes generated from a cryptographically secure random number generator and an optional (possibly empty) `info` string. Note that, by design, knowledge of `seed` and `info` is necessary to compute this function, which means that the secrecy of the output private key (`skS`) depends on the secrecy of `seed` (since the `info` string is public).

Input:

```
opaque seed[32]
PublicInput info
```

Output:

```
Scalar skS
Element pkS
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
PublicInput contextString
```

Errors: DeriveKeyPairError

```
def DeriveKeyPair(seed, info):
    deriveInput = seed || I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info
    counter = 0
    skS = 0
    while skS == 0:
        if counter > 255:
            raise DeriveKeyPairError
        skS = G.HashToScalar(deriveInput || I2OSP(counter, 1),
                             DST = "DeriveKeyPair" || contextString)
        counter = counter + 1
    pkS = G.ScalarMultGen(skS)
    return skS, pkS
```

3.3. Online Protocol

In the online phase, the client and server engage in a two-message protocol to compute the protocol output. This section describes the protocol details for each protocol variant. Throughout each description, the following parameters are assumed to exist:

G: a prime-order group implementing the API described in [Section 2.1](#)

contextString: a PublicInput domain separation tag constructed during context setup, as created in [Section 3.1](#)

skS and pkS: a Scalar and Element representing the private and public keys configured for the client and server in [Section 3.2](#)

Applications serialize protocol messages between the client and server for transmission. Element values and Scalar values are serialized to byte arrays, and values of type Proof are serialized as the concatenation of two serialized Scalar values. Deserializing these values can fail; in which case, the application **MUST** abort the protocol, raising a DeserializeError failure.

Applications **MUST** check that input Element values received over the wire are not the group identity element. This check is handled after deserializing Element values; see [Section 4](#) for more information and requirements on input validation for each ciphersuite.

3.3.1. OPRF Protocol

The OPRF protocol begins with the client blinding its input, as described by the `Blind` function below. Note that this function can fail with an `InvalidInputError` error for certain inputs that map to the group identity element. Dealing with this failure is an application-specific decision; see [Section 5.3](#).

```
Input:
  PrivateInput input

Output:
  Scalar blind
  Element blindedElement

Parameters:
  Group G

Errors: InvalidInputError

def Blind(input):
  blind = G.RandomScalar()
  inputElement = G.HashToGroup(input)
  if inputElement == G.Identity():
    raise InvalidInputError
  blindedElement = blind * inputElement

  return blind, blindedElement
```

Clients store `blind` locally and send `blindedElement` to the server for evaluation. Upon receipt, servers process `blindedElement` using the `BlindEvaluate` function described below.

```
Input:
  Scalar skS
  Element blindedElement

Output:
  Element evaluatedElement

def BlindEvaluate(skS, blindedElement):
  evaluatedElement = skS * blindedElement
  return evaluatedElement
```

Servers send the output `evaluatedElement` to clients for processing. Recall that servers may process multiple client inputs by applying the `BlindEvaluate` function to each `blindedElement` received and returning an array with the corresponding `evaluatedElement` values.

Upon receipt of `evaluatedElement`, clients process it to complete the OPRF evaluation with the `Finalize` function described below.

Input:

```
PrivateInput input
Scalar blind
Element evaluatedElement
```

Output:

```
opaque output[Nh]
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

```
def Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement):
    N = G.ScalarInverse(blind) * evaluatedElement
    unblindedElement = G.SerializeElement(N)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(unblindedElement), 2) || unblindedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

An entity that knows both the private key and the input can compute the PRF result using the following `Evaluate` function.

Input:

Scalar sk_S
PrivateInput input

Output:

opaque output[Nh]

Parameters:

Group G

Errors: InvalidInputError

```
def Evaluate(skS, input):
    inputElement = G.HashToGroup(input)
    if inputElement == G.Identity():
        raise InvalidInputError
    evaluatedElement = skS * inputElement
    issuedElement = G.SerializeElement(evaluatedElement)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(issuedElement), 2) || issuedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

3.3.2. VOPRF Protocol

The VOPRF protocol begins with the client blinding its input, using the same `Blind` function as in [Section 3.3.1](#). Clients store the output blind locally and send `blindedElement` to the server for evaluation. Upon receipt, servers process `blindedElement` to compute an evaluated element and a DLEQ proof using the following `BlindEvaluate` function.

Input :

Scalar skS
Element pkS
Element blindedElement

Output :

Element evaluatedElement
Proof proof

Parameters:

Group G

```
def BlindEvaluate(skS, pkS, blindedElement):  
    evaluatedElement = skS * blindedElement  
    blindedElements = [blindedElement] // list of length 1  
    evaluatedElements = [evaluatedElement] // list of length 1  
    proof = GenerateProof(skS, G.Generator(), pkS,  
                          blindedElements, evaluatedElements)  
    return evaluatedElement, proof
```

In the description above, inputs to `GenerateProof` are one-item lists. Using larger lists allows servers to batch the evaluation of multiple elements while producing a single batched DLEQ proof for them.

The server sends both `evaluatedElement` and `proof` back to the client. Upon receipt, the client processes both values to complete the VOPRF computation using the `Finalize` function below.

Input :

```
PrivateInput input
Scalar blind
Element evaluatedElement
Element blindedElement
Element pkS
Proof proof
```

Output :

```
opaque output[Nh]
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: VerifyError

```
def Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement,
             blindedElement, pkS, proof):
    blindedElements = [blindedElement] // list of length 1
    evaluatedElements = [evaluatedElement] // list of length 1
    if VerifyProof(G.Generator(), pkS, blindedElements,
                  evaluatedElements, proof) == false:
        raise VerifyError

    N = G.ScalarInverse(blind) * evaluatedElement
    unblindedElement = G.SerializeElement(N)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(unblindedElement), 2) || unblindedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

As in `BlindEvaluate`, inputs to `VerifyProof` are one-item lists. Clients can verify multiple inputs at once whenever the server produced a batched DLEQ proof for them.

Finally, an entity that knows both the private key and the input can compute the PRF result using the `Evaluate` function described in [Section 3.3.1](#).

3.3.3. POPRF Protocol

The POPRF protocol begins with the client blinding its input, using the following modified `Blind` function. In this step, the client also binds a public info value, which produces an additional `tweakedKey` to be used later in the protocol. Note that this function can fail with an `InvalidInputError` error for certain private inputs that map to the group identity element, as well as certain public inputs that, if not detected at this point, will cause server evaluation to fail. Dealing with either failure is an application-specific decision; see [Section 5.3](#).

Input:

```
PrivateInput input
PublicInput info
Element pkS
```

Output:

```
Scalar blind
Element blindedElement
Element tweakedKey
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: InvalidInputError

```
def Blind(input, info, pkS):
    framedInfo = "Info" || I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info
    m = G.HashToScalar(framedInfo)
    T = G.ScalarMultGen(m)
    tweakedKey = T + pkS
    if tweakedKey == G.Identity():
        raise InvalidInputError

    blind = G.RandomScalar()
    inputElement = G.HashToGroup(input)
    if inputElement == G.Identity():
        raise InvalidInputError

    blindedElement = blind * inputElement

    return blind, blindedElement, tweakedKey
```

Clients store the outputs `blind` and `tweakedKey` locally and send `blindedElement` to the server for evaluation. Upon receipt, servers process `blindedElement` to compute an evaluated element and a DLEQ proof using the following `BlindEvaluate` function.

Input :

```
Scalar skS
Element blindedElement
PublicInput info
```

Output :

```
Element evaluatedElement
Proof proof
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: InverseError

```
def BlindEvaluate(skS, blindedElement, info):
    framedInfo = "Info" || I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info
    m = G.HashToScalar(framedInfo)
    t = skS + m
    if t == 0:
        raise InverseError

    evaluatedElement = G.ScalarInverse(t) * blindedElement

    tweakedKey = G.ScalarMultGen(t)
    evaluatedElements = [evaluatedElement] // list of length 1
    blindedElements = [blindedElement] // list of length 1
    proof = GenerateProof(t, G.Generator(), tweakedKey,
                        evaluatedElements, blindedElements)

    return evaluatedElement, proof
```

In the description above, inputs to `GenerateProof` are one-item lists. Using larger lists allows servers to batch the evaluation of multiple elements while producing a single batched DLEQ proof for them.

`BlindEvaluate` triggers `InverseError` when the function is about to calculate the inverse of a zero scalar, which does not exist and therefore yields a failure in the protocol. This only occurs for `info` values that map to the private key of the server. Thus, clients that cause this error should be assumed to know the server private key. Hence, this error can be a signal for the server to replace its private key.

The server sends both `evaluatedElement` and `proof` back to the client. Upon receipt, the client processes both values to complete the POPRF computation using the `Finalize` function below.

Input:

```
PrivateInput input
Scalar blind
Element evaluatedElement
Element blindedElement
Proof proof
PublicInput info
Element tweakedKey
```

Output:

```
opaque output[Nh]
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: VerifyError

```
def Finalize(input, blind, evaluatedElement, blindedElement,
             proof, info, tweakedKey):
    evaluatedElements = [evaluatedElement] // list of length 1
    blindedElements = [blindedElement] // list of length 1
    if VerifyProof(G.Generator(), tweakedKey, evaluatedElements,
                  blindedElements, proof) == false:
        raise VerifyError

    N = G.ScalarInverse(blind) * evaluatedElement
    unblindedElement = G.SerializeElement(N)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info ||
                I2OSP(len(unblindedElement), 2) || unblindedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

As in `BlindEvaluate`, inputs to `VerifyProof` are one-item lists. Clients can verify multiple inputs at once whenever the server produced a batched DLEQ proof for them.

Finally, an entity that knows both the private key and the input can compute the PRF result using the `Evaluate` function described below.

Input:

```
Scalar skS
PrivateInput input
PublicInput info
```

Output:

```
opaque output[Nh]
```

Parameters:

```
Group G
```

Errors: InvalidInputError, InverseError

```
def Evaluate(skS, input, info):
    inputElement = G.HashToGroup(input)
    if inputElement == G.Identity():
        raise InvalidInputError

    framedInfo = "Info" || I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info
    m = G.HashToScalar(framedInfo)
    t = skS + m
    if t == 0:
        raise InverseError
    evaluatedElement = G.ScalarInverse(t) * inputElement
    issuedElement = G.SerializeElement(evaluatedElement)

    hashInput = I2OSP(len(input), 2) || input ||
                I2OSP(len(info), 2) || info ||
                I2OSP(len(issuedElement), 2) || issuedElement ||
                "Finalize"
    return Hash(hashInput)
```

4. Ciphersuites

A ciphersuite (also referred to as 'suite' in this document) for the protocol wraps the functionality required for the protocol to take place. The ciphersuite should be available to both the client and server, and agreement on the specific instantiation is assumed throughout.

A ciphersuite contains instantiations of the following functionalities:

Group: A prime-order group exposing the API detailed in [Section 2.1](#), with the generator element defined in the corresponding reference for each group. Each group also specifies HashToGroup, HashToScalar, and serialization functionalities. For HashToGroup, the domain separation tag (DST) is constructed in accordance with the recommendations in [\[RFC9380\]](#), [Section 3.1](#). For HashToScalar, each group specifies an integer order that is used in reducing integer values to a member of the corresponding scalar field.

Hash: A cryptographic hash function whose output length is N_h bytes long.

This section includes an initial set of ciphersuites with supported groups and hash functions. It also includes implementation details for each ciphersuite, focusing on input validation. Future documents can specify additional ciphersuites as needed, provided they meet the requirements in [Section 4.6](#).

For each ciphersuite, `contextString` is that which is computed in the `Setup` functions. Applications should take caution in using ciphersuites targeting P-256 and ristretto255. See [Section 7.2](#) for related discussion.

4.1. OPRF(ristretto255, SHA-512)

This ciphersuite uses ristretto255 [\[RFC9496\]](#) for the Group and SHA-512 for the hash function. The value of the ciphersuite identifier is "ristretto255-SHA512".

Group: ristretto255 [\[RFC9496\]](#)

Order(): Return $2^{252} + 27742317777372353535851937790883648493$ (see [\[RFC9496\]](#)).

Identity(): As defined in [\[RFC9496\]](#).

Generator(): As defined in [\[RFC9496\]](#).

HashToGroup(): Use `hash_to_ristretto255` [\[RFC9380\]](#) with `DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString` and `expand_message = expand_message_xmd` using SHA-512.

HashToScalar(): Compute `uniform_bytes` using `expand_message = expand_message_xmd`, `DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString`, and an output length of 64 bytes, interpret `uniform_bytes` as a 512-bit integer in little-endian order, and reduce the integer modulo `Group.Order()`.

ScalarInverse(s): Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar `s mod Group.Order()`.

RandomScalar(): Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range `[0, G.Order() - 1]`. Refer to [Section 4.7](#) for implementation guidance.

SerializeElement(A): Implemented using the `Encode` function from [Section 4.3.2](#) of [\[RFC9496\]](#); `Ne = 32`.

DeserializeElement(buf): Implemented using the `Decode` function from [Section 4.3.1](#) of [\[RFC9496\]](#). Additionally, this function validates that the resulting element is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an `InputValidationError` error.

SerializeScalar(s): Implemented by outputting the little-endian, 32-byte encoding of the Scalar value with the top three bits set to zero; `Ns = 32`.

DeserializeScalar(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a little-endian, 32-byte string. This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range `[0, G.Order() - 1]`. Note that this means the top three bits of the input **MUST** be zero.

Hash: SHA-512; Nh = 64.

4.2. OPRF(decaf448, SHAKE-256)

This ciphersuite uses decaf448 [RFC9496] for the Group and SHAKE-256 for the hash function. The value of the ciphersuite identifier is "decaf448-SHAKE256".

Group: decaf448 [RFC9496]

Order(): Return $2^{446} -$

13818066809895115352007386748515426880336692474882178609894547503885.

Identity(): As defined in [RFC9496].

Generator(): As defined in [RFC9496].

RandomScalar(): Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$. Refer to Section 4.7 for implementation guidance.

HashToGroup(): Use hash_to_decaf448 [RFC9380] with DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString and expand_message = expand_message_xof using SHAKE-256.

HashToScalar(): Compute uniform_bytes using expand_message = expand_message_xof, DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString, and output length 64, interpret uniform_bytes as a 512-bit integer in little-endian order, and reduce the integer modulo $G.Order()$.

ScalarInverse(s): Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar $s \bmod G.Order()$.

SerializeElement(A): Implemented using the Encode function from Section 5.3.2 of [RFC9496]; Ne = 56.

DeserializeElement(buf): Implemented using the Decode function from Section 5.3.1 of [RFC9496]. Additionally, this function validates that the resulting element is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an InputValidationError error.

SerializeScalar(s): Implemented by outputting the little-endian, 56-byte encoding of the Scalar value; Ns = 56.

DeserializeScalar(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a little-endian, 56-byte string. This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$.

Hash: SHAKE-256; Nh = 64.

4.3. OPRF(P-256, SHA-256)

This ciphersuite uses P-256 [NISTCurves] for the Group and SHA-256 for the hash function. The value of the ciphersuite identifier is "P256-SHA256".

Group: P-256 (secp256r1) [NISTCurves]

Order(): Return `0xffffffff00000000ffffffffffffffffbce6faada7179e84f3b9cac2fc632551`.

Identity(): As defined in [NISTCurves].

Generator(): As defined in [NISTCurves].

RandomScalar(): Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range `[0, G.Order() - 1]`. Refer to Section 4.7 for implementation guidance.

HashToGroup(): Use `hash_to_curve` with suite `P256_XMD:SHA-256_SSWU_RO_` [RFC9380] and `DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString`.

HashToScalar(): Use `hash_to_field` from [RFC9380] using `L = 48`, `expand_message_xmd` with `SHA-256`, `DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString`, and a prime modulus equal to `Group.Order()`.

ScalarInverse(s): Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar `s mod Group.Order()`.

SerializeElement(A): Implemented using the compressed Elliptic-Curve-Point-to-Octet-String method according to [SEC1]; `Ne = 33`.

DeserializeElement(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a 33-byte input string to a public key using the compressed Octet-String-to-Elliptic-Curve-Point method according to [SEC1] and then performing partial public-key validation, as defined in Section 5.6.2.3.4 of [KEYAGREEMENT]. This includes checking that the coordinates of the resulting point are in the correct range, that the point is on the curve, and that the point is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an `InputValidationError` error.

SerializeScalar(s): Implemented using the Field-Element-to-Octet-String conversion according to [SEC1]; `Ns = 32`.

DeserializeScalar(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a 32-byte string using `Octet-String-to-Field-Element` from [SEC1]. This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range `[0, G.Order() - 1]`.

Hash: SHA-256; `Nh = 32`.

4.4. OPRF(P-384, SHA-384)

This ciphersuite uses P-384 [NISTCurves] for the Group and SHA-384 for the hash function. The value of the ciphersuite identifier is "P384-SHA384".

Group: P-384 (secp384r1) [NISTCurves]

Order(): Return `0xffc7634d81f4372ddf581a0db248b0a77aecec196accc52973`.

Identity(): As defined in [NISTCurves].

Generator(): As defined in [\[NISTCurves\]](#).

RandomScalar(): Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$. Refer to [Section 4.7](#) for implementation guidance.

HashToGroup(): Use `hash_to_curve` with suite `P384_XMD:SHA-384_SSWU_RO_` [\[RFC9380\]](#) and `DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString`.

HashToScalar(): Use `hash_to_field` from [\[RFC9380\]](#) using `L = 72`, `expand_message_xmd` with `SHA-384`, `DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString`, and a prime modulus equal to `Group.Order()`.

ScalarInverse(s): Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar `s mod Group.Order()`.

SerializeElement(A): Implemented using the compressed Elliptic-Curve-Point-to-Octet-String method according to [\[SEC1\]](#); `Ne = 49`.

DeserializeElement(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a 49-byte array to a public key using the compressed Octet-String-to-Elliptic-Curve-Point method according to [\[SEC1\]](#) and then performing partial public-key validation, as defined in Section 5.6.2.3.4 of [\[KEYAGREEMENT\]](#). This includes checking that the coordinates of the resulting point are in the correct range, that the point is on the curve, and that the point is not the point at infinity. Additionally, this function validates that the resulting element is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an `InputValidationError` error.

SerializeScalar(s): Implemented using the Field-Element-to-Octet-String conversion according to [\[SEC1\]](#); `Ns = 48`.

DeserializeScalar(buf): Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a 48-byte string using `Octet-String-to-Field-Element` from [\[SEC1\]](#). This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$.

Hash: SHA-384; `Nh = 48`.

4.5. OPRF(P-521, SHA-512)

This ciphersuite uses P-521 [\[NISTCurves\]](#) for the Group and SHA-512 for the hash function. The value of the ciphersuite identifier is "P521-SHA512".

Group: P-521 (secp521r1) [\[NISTCurves\]](#)

Order(): Return

```
0x01fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffa51868783bf2f966b7fcc0148f709a5d0
3bb5c9b8899c47aebb6fb71e91386409.
```

Identity(): As defined in [\[NISTCurves\]](#).

Generator(): As defined in [\[NISTCurves\]](#).

`RandomScalar()`: Implemented by returning a uniformly random Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$. Refer to [Section 4.7](#) for implementation guidance.

`HashToGroup()`: Use `hash_to_curve` with suite `P521_XMD:SHA-512_SSWU_RO_` [[RFC9380](#)] and `DST = "HashToGroup-" || contextString`.

`HashToScalar()`: Use `hash_to_field` from [[RFC9380](#)] using $L = 98$, `expand_message_xmd` with SHA-512, `DST = "HashToScalar-" || contextString`, and a prime modulus equal to `Group.Order()`.

`ScalarInverse(s)`: Returns the multiplicative inverse of input Scalar $s \bmod Group.Order()$.

`SerializeElement(A)`: Implemented using the compressed Elliptic-Curve-Point-to-Octet-String method according to [[SEC1](#)]; $N_e = 67$.

`DeserializeElement(buf)`: Implemented by attempting to deserialize a 67-byte input string to a public key using the compressed Octet-String-to-Elliptic-Curve-Point method according to [[SEC1](#)] and then performing partial public-key validation, as defined in Section 5.6.2.3.4 of [[KEYAGREEMENT](#)]. This includes checking that the coordinates of the resulting point are in the correct range, that the point is on the curve, and that the point is not the point at infinity. Additionally, this function validates that the resulting element is not the group identity element. If these checks fail, deserialization returns an `InputValidationError` error.

`SerializeScalar(s)`: Implemented using the Field-Element-to-Octet-String conversion according to [[SEC1](#)]; $N_s = 66$.

`DeserializeScalar(buf)`: Implemented by attempting to deserialize a Scalar from a 66-byte string using `Octet-String-to-Field-Element` from [[SEC1](#)]. This function can fail if the input does not represent a Scalar in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$.

Hash: SHA-512; $N_h = 64$.

4.6. Future Ciphersuites

A critical requirement of implementing the prime-order group using elliptic curves is a method to instantiate the function `HashToGroup`, which maps inputs to group elements. In the elliptic curve setting, this deterministically maps inputs (as byte arrays) to uniformly chosen points on the curve.

In the security proof of the construction, Hash is modeled as a random oracle. This implies that any instantiation of `HashToGroup` must be pre-image and collision resistant. In [Section 4](#), we give instantiations of this functionality based on the functions described in [[RFC9380](#)]. Consequently, any OPRF implementation must adhere to the implementation and security considerations discussed in [[RFC9380](#)] when instantiating the function.

The `DeserializeElement` and `DeserializeScalar` functions instantiated for a particular prime-order group corresponding to a ciphersuite **MUST** adhere to the description in [Section 2.1](#). Future ciphersuites **MUST** describe how input validation is done for `DeserializeElement` and `DeserializeScalar`.

Additionally, future ciphersuites must take care when choosing the security level of the group. See [Section 7.2.3](#) for additional details.

4.7. Random Scalar Generation

Two popular algorithms for generating a random integer uniformly distributed in the range $[0, G.Order() - 1]$ are described in the following subsections.

4.7.1. Rejection Sampling

Generate a random byte array with N_s bytes and attempt to map to a Scalar by calling `DeserializeScalar` in constant time. If it succeeds, return the result. If it fails, try again with another random byte array until the procedure succeeds. Failure to implement `DeserializeScalar` in constant time can leak information about the underlying corresponding Scalar.

As an optimization, if the group order is very close to a power of 2, it is acceptable to omit the rejection test completely. In particular, if the group order is p and there is an integer b such that $|p - 2^b|$ is less than $2^{(b/2)}$, then `RandomScalar` can simply return a uniformly random integer of at most b bits.

4.7.2. Random Number Generation Using Extra Random Bits

Generate a random byte array with $L = \text{ceil}(\text{ceil}(\log_2(G.Order())) / 2) / 8$ bytes, and interpret it as an integer; reduce the integer modulo $G.Order()$, and return the result. See [\[RFC9380\]](#), [Section 5](#) for the underlying derivation of L .

5. Application Considerations

This section describes considerations for applications, including external interface recommendations, explicit error treatment, and public input representation for the POPRF protocol variant.

5.1. Input Limits

Application inputs, expressed as `PrivateInput` or `PublicInput` values, **MUST** be smaller than $2^{16} - 1$ bytes in length. Applications that require longer inputs can use a cryptographic hash function to map these longer inputs to a fixed-length input that fits within the `PublicInput` or `PrivateInput` length bounds. Note that some cryptographic hash functions have input length restrictions themselves, but these limits are often large enough to not be a concern in practice. For example, SHA-256 has an input limit of 2^{61} bytes.

5.2. External Interface Recommendations

In [Section 3.3](#), the interface of the protocol functions allows that some inputs (and outputs) to be group Element and Scalar values. However, implementations can instead operate over Element and Scalar values internally and only expose interfaces that operate with an application-specific format of messages.

5.3. Error Considerations

Some OPRF variants specified in this document have fallible operations. For example, `Finalize` and `BlindEvaluate` can fail if any element received from the peer fails input validation. The explicit errors generated throughout this specification, along with the conditions that lead to each error, are as follows:

`VerifyError`: Verifiable OPRF proof verification failed ([Sections 3.3.2](#) and [3.3.3](#)).

`DeserializeError`: Group Element or Scalar deserialization failure ([Sections 2.1](#) and [3.3](#)).

`InputValidationError`: Validation of byte array inputs failed ([Section 4](#)).

There are other explicit errors generated in this specification; however, they occur with negligible probability in practice. We note them here for completeness.

`InvalidInputError`: OPRF Blind input produces an invalid output element ([Sections 3.3.1](#) and [3.3.3](#)).

`InverseError`: A tweaked private key is invalid, i.e., has no multiplicative inverse ([Sections 2.1](#) and [3.3](#)).

In general, the errors in this document are meant as a guide to implementors. They are not an exhaustive list of all the errors an implementation might emit. For example, implementations might run out of memory and return a corresponding error.

5.4. POPRF Public Input

Functionally, the VOPRF and POPRF variants differ in that the POPRF variant admits public input, whereas the VOPRF variant does not. Public input allows clients and servers to cryptographically bind additional data to the POPRF output. A POPRF with fixed public input is functionally equivalent to a VOPRF. However, there are differences in the underlying security assumptions made about each variant; see [Section 7.2](#) for more details.

This public input is known to both parties at the start of the protocol. It is **RECOMMENDED** that this public input be constructed with some type of higher-level domain separation to avoid cross protocol attacks or related issues. For example, protocols using this construction might ensure that the public input uses a unique, prefix-free encoding. See [\[RFC9380\]](#), [Section 10.4](#) for further discussion on constructing domain separation values.

Implementations of the POPRF may choose to not let applications control info in cases where this value is fixed or otherwise not useful to the application. In this case, the resulting protocol is functionally equivalent to the VOPRF, which does not admit public input.

6. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

7. Security Considerations

This section discusses the security of the protocols defined in this specification, along with some suggestions and trade-offs that arise from the implementation of the protocol variants in this document. Note that the syntax of the POPRF variant is different from that of the OPRF and VOPRF variants since it admits an additional public input, but the same security considerations apply.

7.1. Security Properties

The security properties of an OPRF protocol with functionality $y = F(k, x)$ include those of a standard PRF. Specifically:

Pseudorandomness: For a random sampling of k , F is pseudorandom if the output $y = F(k, x)$ on any input x is indistinguishable from uniformly sampling any element in F 's range.

In other words, consider an adversary that picks inputs x from the domain of F and evaluates F on (k, x) (without knowledge of randomly sampled k). Then, the output distribution $F(k, x)$ is indistinguishable from the output distribution of a randomly chosen function with the same domain and range.

A consequence of showing that a function is pseudorandom is that it is necessarily nonmalleable (i.e., we cannot compute a new evaluation of F from an existing evaluation). A genuinely random function will be nonmalleable with high probability, so a pseudorandom function must be nonmalleable to maintain indistinguishability.

Unconditional input secrecy: The server does not learn anything about the client input x , even with unbounded computation.

In other words, an attacker with infinite computing power cannot recover any information about the client's private input x from an invocation of the protocol.

Essentially, input secrecy is the property that, even if the server learns the client's private input x at some point in the future, the server cannot link any particular PRF evaluation to x . This property is also known as unlinkability [DGSTV18].

Beyond client input secrecy, in the OPRF protocol, the server learns nothing about the output y of the function, nor does the client learn anything about the server's private key k .

For the VOPRF and POPRF protocol variants, there is an additional security property:

Verifiable: The client must only complete execution of the protocol if it can successfully assert that the output it computes is correct. This is taken with respect to the private key held by the server.

Any VOPRF or POPRF that satisfies the 'verifiable' security property is known as 'verifiable'. In practice, the notion of verifiability requires that the server commits to the key before the actual protocol execution takes place. Then, the client verifies that the server has used the key in the protocol using this commitment. In the following, we may also refer to this commitment as a public key.

Finally, the POPRF variant also has the following security property:

Partial obliviousness: The client and server must be able to perform the PRF on the client's private and public input. Both the client and server know the public input, but similar to the OPRF and VOPRF protocols, the server learns nothing about the client's private input or the output of the function, and the client learns nothing about the server's private key.

This property becomes useful when dealing with key management operations, such as the rotation of the server's keys. Note that partial obliviousness only applies to the POPRF variant because neither the OPRF nor VOPRF variants accept public input to the protocol.

Since the POPRF variant has a different syntax than the OPRF and VOPRF variants, i.e., $y = F(k, x, \text{info})$, the pseudorandomness property is generalized:

Pseudorandomness: For a random sampling of k , F is pseudorandom if the output $y = F(k, x, \text{info})$ on any input pairs (x, info) is indistinguishable from uniformly sampling any element in F 's range.

7.2. Security Assumptions

Below, we discuss the cryptographic security of each protocol variant from [Section 3](#), relative to the necessary cryptographic assumptions that need to be made.

7.2.1. OPRF and VOPRF Assumptions

The OPRF and VOPRF protocol variants in this document are based on [\[JKK14\]](#). In particular, the VOPRF construction is similar to the [\[JKK14\]](#) construction with the following distinguishing properties:

1. This document does not use session identifiers to differentiate different instances of the protocol.
2. This document supports batching so that multiple evaluations can happen at once whilst only constructing one DLEQ proof object. This is enabled using an established batching technique [\[DGSTV18\]](#).

The pseudorandomness and input secrecy (and verifiability) of the OPRF (and VOPRF) protocols in [JKK14] are based on the One-More Gap Computational Diffie-Hellman assumption that is computationally difficult to solve in the corresponding prime-order group. In [JKK14], these properties are proven for one instance (i.e., one key) of the VOPRF protocol and without batching. There is currently no security analysis available for the VOPRF protocol described in this document in a setting with multiple server keys or batching.

7.2.2. POPRF Assumptions

The POPRF construction in this document is based on the construction known as 3HashSDHI, given by [TCRSTW21]. The construction is identical to 3HashSDHI, except that this design can optionally perform multiple POPRF evaluations in one batch, whilst only constructing one DLEQ proof object. This is enabled using an established batching technique [DGSTV18].

Pseudorandomness, input secrecy, verifiability, and partial obliviousness of the POPRF variant is based on the assumption that the One-More Gap Strong Diffie-Hellman Inversion (SDHI) assumption from [TCRSTW21] is computationally difficult to solve in the corresponding prime-order group. Tyagi et al. [TCRSTW21] show that both the One-More Gap Computational Diffie-Hellman assumption and the One-More Gap SDHI assumption reduce to the q -DL (Discrete Log) assumption in the algebraic group model for some q number of `BlindEvaluate` queries. (The One-More Gap Computational Diffie-Hellman assumption was the hardness assumption used to evaluate the OPRF and VOPRF designs based on [JKK14], which is a predecessor to the POPRF variant in Section 3.3.3.)

7.2.3. Static Diffie-Hellman Attack and Security Limits

A side effect of the OPRF protocol variants in this document is that they allow instantiation of an oracle for constructing static Diffie-Hellman (DH) samples; see [BG04] and [Cheon06]. These attacks are meant to recover (bits of) the server private key. Best-known attacks reduce the security of the prime-order group instantiation by $\log_2(Q) / 2$ bits, where Q is the number of `BlindEvaluate` calls made by the attacker.

As a result of this class of attacks, choosing prime-order groups with a 128-bit security level instantiates an OPRF with a reduced security level of $128 - (\log_2(Q) / 2)$ bits of security. Moreover, such attacks are only possible for those certain applications where the adversary can query the OPRF directly. Applications can mitigate against this problem in a variety of ways, e.g., by rate-limiting client queries to `BlindEvaluate` or by rotating private keys. In applications where such an oracle is not made available, this security loss does not apply.

In most cases, it would require an informed and persistent attacker to launch a highly expensive attack to reduce security to anything much below 100 bits of security. Applications that admit the aforementioned oracle functionality and that cannot tolerate discrete logarithm security of lower than 128 bits are **RECOMMENDED** to choose groups that target a higher security level, such as `decaf448` (used by ciphersuite `decaf448-SHAKE256`), `P-384` (used by ciphersuite `P384-SHA384`), or `P-521` (used by ciphersuite `P521-SHA512`).

7.3. Domain Separation

Applications **SHOULD** construct input to the protocol to provide domain separation. Any system that has multiple OPRF applications should distinguish client inputs to ensure the OPRF results are separate. Guidance for constructing info can be found in [RFC9380], Section 3.1.

7.4. Timing Leaks

To ensure no information is leaked during protocol execution, all operations that use secret data **MUST** run in constant time. This includes all prime-order group operations and proof-specific operations that operate on secret data, including GenerateProof and BlindEvaluate.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

- [KEYAGREEMENT] Barker, E., Chen, L., Roginsky, A., Vassilev, A., and R. Davis, "Recommendation for pair-wise key-establishment schemes using discrete logarithm cryptography", NIST SP 800-56A (Rev. 3), DOI 10.6028/nist.sp.800-56ar3, April 2018, <<https://doi.org/10.6028/nist.sp.800-56ar3>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8017] Moriarty, K., Ed., Kaliski, B., Jonsson, J., and A. Rusch, "PKCS #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2", RFC 8017, DOI 10.17487/RFC8017, November 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8017>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC9380] Faz-Hernandez, A., Scott, S., Sullivan, N., Wahby, R. S., and C. A. Wood, "Hashing to Elliptic Curves", RFC 9380, DOI 10.17487/RFC9380, August 2023, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9380>>.
- [RFC9496] de Valence, H., Grigg, J., Hamburg, M., Lovecruft, I., Tankersley, G., and F. Valsorda, "The ristretto255 and decaf448 Groups", RFC 9496, DOI 10.17487/RFC9496, December 2023, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9496>>.

8.2. Informative References

- [BG04] Brown, D. and R. Gallant, "The Static Diffie-Hellman Problem", November 2004, <<https://eprint.iacr.org/2004/306>>.

-
- [ChaumPedersen]** Chaum, D. and T. Pedersen, "Wallet Databases with Observers", *Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO' 92*, pp. 89-105, DOI 10.1007/3-540-48071-4_7, August 1992, <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-48071-4_7>.
- [Cheon06]** Cheon, J., "Security Analysis of the Strong Diffie-Hellman Problem", *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2006*, pp. 1-11, DOI 10.1007/11761679_1, 2006, <https://doi.org/10.1007/11761679_1>.
- [DGSTV18]** Davidson, A., Goldberg, I., Sullivan, N., Tankersley, G., and F. Valsorda, "Privacy Pass: Bypassing Internet Challenges Anonymously", *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies*, vol. 2018, no. 3, pp. 164-180, DOI 10.1515/popets-2018-0026, April 2018, <<https://doi.org/10.1515/popets-2018-0026>>.
- [FS00]** Fiat, A. and A. Shamir, "How To Prove Yourself: Practical Solutions to Identification and Signature Problems", *Advances in Cryptology - CRYPTO' 86*, pp. 186-194, DOI 10.1007/3-540-47721-7_12, 1986, <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-47721-7_12>.
- [JKK14]** Jarecki, S., Kiayias, A., and H. Krawczyk, "Round-Optimal Password-Protected Secret Sharing and T-PAKE in the Password-Only Model", *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 233-253, DOI 10.1007/978-3-662-45608-8_13, 2014, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-45608-8_13>.
- [JKKX16]** Jarecki, S., Kiayias, A., Krawczyk, H., and J. Xu, "Highly-Efficient and Composable Password-Protected Secret Sharing (Or: How to Protect Your Bitcoin Wallet Online)", 2016 IEEE European Symposium on Security and Privacy (EuroS&P), DOI 10.1109/eurosp.2016.30, March 2016, <<https://doi.org/10.1109/eurosp.2016.30>>.
- [NISTCurves]** National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", FIPS PUB 186-5, DOI 10.6028/NIST.FIPS.186-5, February 2023, <<https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.186-5>>.
- [OPAQUE]** Bourdrez, D., Krawczyk, H., Lewi, K., and C. A. Wood, "The OPAQUE Asymmetric PAKE Protocol", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-irtf-cfrg-opaque-13, 18 December 2023, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-irtf-cfrg-opaque-13>>.
- [PRIVACY-PASS]** Celi, S., Davidson, A., Valdez, S., and C. A. Wood, "Privacy Pass Issuance Protocol", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-privacypass-protocol-16, 3 October 2023, <<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/html/draft-ietf-privacypass-protocol-16>>.
- [PrivacyPass]** "Privacy Pass", commit 085380a, March 2018, <<https://github.com/privacypass/team>>.
- [RFC7748]** Langley, A., Hamburg, M., and S. Turner, "Elliptic Curves for Security", RFC 7748, DOI 10.17487/RFC7748, January 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7748>>.
- [SEC1]** Standards for Efficient Cryptography Group (SECG), "SEC 1: Elliptic Curve Cryptography", May 2009, <<https://www.secg.org/sec1-v2.pdf>>.

[SJKS17] Shirvanian, M., Jarecki, S., Krawczyk, H., and N. Saxena, "SPHINX: A Password Store that Perfectly Hides Passwords from Itself", 2017 IEEE 37th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS), DOI 10.1109/ICDCS.2017.64, June 2017, <<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDCS.2017.64>>.

[TCRSTW21] Tyagi, N., Celi, S., Ristenpart, T., Sullivan, N., Tessaro, S., and C. A. Wood, "A Fast and Simple Partially Oblivious PRF, with Applications", Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2022 pp. 674-705, DOI 10.1007/978-3-031-07085-3_23, May 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-07085-3_23>.

Appendix A. Test Vectors

This section includes test vectors for the protocol variants specified in this document. For each ciphersuite specified in [Section 4](#), there is a set of test vectors for the protocol when running the OPRF, VOPRF, and POPRF modes. Each test vector lists the batch size for the evaluation. Each test vector value is encoded as a hexadecimal byte string. The fields of each test vector are described below.

"Input": The private client input, an opaque byte string.

"Info": The public info, an opaque byte string. Only present for POPRF test vectors.

"Blind": The blind value output by `Blind()`, a serialized Scalar of N_s bytes long.

"BlindedElement": The blinded value output by `Blind()`, a serialized Element of N_e bytes long.

"EvaluatedElement": The evaluated element output by `BlindEvaluate()`, a serialized Element of N_e bytes long.

"Proof": The serialized Proof output from `GenerateProof()` composed of two serialized Scalar values, each N_s bytes long. Only present for VOPRF and POPRF test vectors.

"ProofRandomScalar": The random Scalar r computed in `GenerateProof()`, a serialized Scalar of N_s bytes long. Only present for VOPRF and POPRF test vectors.

"Output": The protocol output, an opaque byte string of N_h bytes long.

Test vectors with batch size $B > 1$ have inputs separated by a comma ",". Applicable test vectors will have B different values for the "Input", "Blind", "BlindedElement", "EvaluationElement", and "Output" fields.

The server key material, `pkSm` and `skSm`, are listed under the mode for each ciphersuite. Both `pkSm` and `skSm` are the serialized values of `pkS` and `skS`, respectively, as used in the protocol. Each key pair is derived from a seed, denoted `Seed`, and info string, denoted `KeyInfo`, which are listed as well, using the `DeriveKeyPair` function from [Section 3.2](#).

A.1. ristretto255-SHA512

A.1.1. OPRF Mode

```
Seed = a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3
3a3
KeyInfo = 74657374206b6579
skSm = 5ebcea5ee37023ccb9fc2d2019f9d7737be85591ae8652ffa9ef0f4d37063
b0e
```

A.1.1.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec4c1f
6706
BlindedElement = 609a0ae68c15a3cf6903766461307e5c8bb2f95e7e6550e1ffa
2dc99e412803c
EvaluationElement = 7ec6578ae5120958eb2db1745758ff379e77cb64fe77b0b2
d8cc917ea0869c7e
Output = 527759c3d9366f277d8c6020418d96bb393ba2afb20ff90df23fb770826
4e2f3ab9135e3bd69955851de4b1f9fe8a0973396719b7912ba9ee8aa7d0b5e24bcf
6
```

A.1.1.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec4c1f
6706
BlindedElement = da27ef466870f5f15296299850aa088629945a17d1f5b7f5ff0
43f76b3c06418
EvaluationElement = b4cbf5a4f1eeda5a63ce7b77c7d23f461db3fcab0dd28e4e
17cecb5c90d02c25
Output = f4a74c9c592497375e796aa837e907b1a045d34306a749db9f34221f7e7
50cb4f2a6413a6bf6fa5e19ba6348eb673934a722a7ede2e7621306d18951e7cf2c7
3
```

A.1.2. VOPRF Mode

```
Seed = a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3
3a3
KeyInfo = 74657374206b6579
skSm = e6f73f344b79b379f1a0dd37e07ff62e38d9f71345ce62ae3a9bc60b04ccd
909
pkSm = c803e2cc6b05fc15064549b5920659ca4a77b2cca6f04f6b357009335476a
d4e
```


A.2.1.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112
BlindedElement = e0ae01c4095f08e03b19baf47ffdc19cb7d98e583160522a3c7
d6a0b2111cd93a126a46b7b41b730cd7fc943d4e28e590ed33ae475885f6c
EvaluationElement = 50ce4e60eed006e22e7027454b5a4b8319eb2bc8ced609eb
19eb3ad42fb19e06ba12d382cbe7ae342a0cad6ead0ef8f91f00bb7f0cd9c0a2
Output = 37d3f7922d9388a15b561de5829bbf654c4089ede89c0ce0f3f85bcdba0
9e382ce0ab3507e021f9e79706a1798ffeac68ebd5cf62e5eb9838c7068351d97ae3
7
```

A.2.1.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112
BlindedElement = 86a88dc5c6331ecfcb1d9aacb50a68213803c462e377577cacc
00af28e15f0ddbc2e3d716f2f39ef95f3ec1314a2c64d940a9f295d8f13bb
EvaluationElement = 162e9fa6e9d527c3cd734a31bf122a34dbd5bcb7bb23651f
1768a7a9274cc116c03b58afa6f0dede3994a60066c76370e7328e7062fd5819
Output = a2a652290055cb0f6f8637a249ee45e32ef4667db0b4c80c0a70d2a6416
4d01525cfdad5d870a694ec77972b9b6ec5d2596a5223e5336913f945101f0137f55
e
```

A.2.2. VOPRF Mode

```
Seed = a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3
3a3
KeyInfo = 74657374206b6579
skSm = e3c01519a076a326a0eb566343e9b21c115fa18e6e85577ddbe890b33104f
cc2835ddfb14a928dc3f5d79b936e17c76b99e0bf6a1680930e
pkSm = 945fc518c47695cf65217ace04b86ac5e4cbe26ca649d52854bb16c494ce0
9069d6add96b20d4b0ae311a87c9a73e3a146b525763ab2f955
```

A.2.2.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112
BlindedElement = 7261bbc335c664ba788f1b1a1a4cd5190cc30e787ef277665ac
1d314f8861e3ec11854ce3ddd42035d9e0f5cddde324c332d8c880abc00eb
EvaluationElement = ca1491a526c28d880806cf0fb012222392cf495657be6e4
c9d203bceffa46c86406caf8217859d3fb259077af68e5d41b3699410781f467
Proof = f84bbeee47aedf43558dae4b95b3853635a9fc1a9ea7eac9b454c64c66c4
f49cd1c72711c7ac2e06c681e16ea693d5500bbd7b56455df52f69e00b76b4126961
e1562fdbaaac40b7701065cbeece3febbfe09e00160f81775d36daed99d8a2a10be0
759e01b7ee81217203416c9db208
ProofRandomScalar = b1b748135d405ce48c6973401d9455bb8ccd18b01d0295c0
627f67661200dbf9569f73fbb3925daa043a070e5f953d80bb464ea369e5522b
Output = e2ac40b634f36cccd8262b285adff7c9dcc19cd308564a5f4e581d1a853
5773b86fa4fc9f2203c370763695c5093aea4a7aedec4488b1340ba3bf663a23098c
1
```

A.2.2.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112
BlindedElement = 88287e553939090b888ddc15913e1807dc4757215555e1c3a79
488ef311594729c7fa74c772a732b78440b7d66d0aa35f3bb316f1d93e1b2
EvaluationElement = c00978c73e8e4ee1d447ab0d3ad1754055e72cc85c08e3a0
db170909a9c61cbff1f1e7015f289e3038b0f341faea5d7780c130106065c231
Proof = 7a2831a6b237e11ac1657d440df93bc5ce00f552e6020a99d5c956ffc4d0
7b5ade3e82ecdc257fd53d76239e733e0a1313e84ce16cc0d82734806092a693d7e8
d3c420c2cb6ccd5d0ca32514fb78e9ad0973ebdcb52eba438fc73948d76339ee7101
21d83e2fe6f001cfd551aff9f36
ProofRandomScalar = b1b748135d405ce48c6973401d9455bb8ccd18b01d0295c0
627f67661200dbf9569f73fbb3925daa043a070e5f953d80bb464ea369e5522b
Output = 862952380e07ec840d9f6e6f909c5a25d16c3dacb586d89a181b4aa7380
c959baa8c480fe8e6c64e089d68ea7aeeb5817bd524d7577905b5bab487690048c94
1
```


A.2.3.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 00
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112
BlindedElement = 161183c13c6cb33b0e4f9b7365f8c5c12d13c72f8b62d276ca0
9368d093dce9b42198276b9e9d870ac392dda53efd28d1b7e6e8c060cdc42
EvaluationElement = 06ec89dfde25bb2a6f0145ac84b91ac277b35de39ad1d6f4
02a8e46414952ce0d9ea1311a4ece283e2b01558c7078b040cfaa40dd63b3e6c
Proof = 66caee75bf2460429f620f6ad3e811d524cb8ddd848a435fc5d89af48877
abf6506ee341a0b6f67c2d76cd021e5f3d1c9abe5aa9f0dce016da746135fedba2af
41ed1d01659bfd6180d96bc1b7f320c0cb6926011ce392ecca748662564892bae665
16acaac6ca39aadf6fcc95af406
ProofRandomScalar = b1b748135d405ce48c6973401d9455bb8ccd18b01d0295c0
627f67661200dbf9569f73fbb3925daa043a070e5f953d80bb464ea369e5522b
Output = 4423f6dcc1740688ea201de57d76824d59cd6b859e1f9884b7eebc49b0b
971358cf9cb075df1536a8ea31bcf55c3e31c2ba9cfa8efe54448d17091daeb9924e
d
```

A.2.3.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```
Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 64d37aed22a27f5191de1c1d69fadb899d8862b58eb4220029e036ec65fa
3833a26e9388336361686ff1f83df55046504dfecad8549ba112
BlindedElement = 12082b6a381c6c51e85d00f2a3d828cdeab3f5cb19a10b9c014
c33826764ab7e7cfb8b4ff6f411bddb2d64e62a472af1cd816e5b712790c6
EvaluationElement = f2919b7eedc05ab807c221fce2b12c4ae9e19e6909c47845
64b690d1972d2994ca623f273afc67444d84ea40cbc58fcdab7945f321a52848
Proof = a295677c54d1bc4286330907fc2490a7de163da26f9ce03a462a452fea42
2b19ade296ba031359b3b6841e48455d20519ad01b4ac4f0b92e76d3cf16fbef0a3f
72791a8401ef2d7081d361e502e96b2c60608b9fa566f43d4611c2f161d83aabef7f
8017332b26ed1daaf80440772022
ProofRandomScalar = b1b748135d405ce48c6973401d9455bb8ccd18b01d0295c0
627f67661200dbf9569f73fbb3925daa043a070e5f953d80bb464ea369e5522b
Output = 8691905500510843902c44bdd9730ab9dc3925aa58ff9dd42765a2baf63
3126de0c3adb93bef5652f38e5827b6396e87643960163a560fc4ac9738c8de4e4a8
d
```


A.3.3.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```

Input = 00, 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 3338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364, f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b
1
BlindedElement = 031563e127099a8f61ed51eeede05d747a8da2be329b40ba1f0
db0b2bd9dd4e2c0, 03ca4ff41c12fadd7a0bc92cf856732b21df652e01a3abdf0fa8
847da053db213c
EvaluationElement = 02c5e5300c2d9e6ba7f3f4ad60500ad93a0157e6288eb04b
67e125db024a2c74d2, 02f0b6bcd467343a8d8555a99dc2eed0215c71898c5edb77a
3d97ddd0dbad478e8
Proof = 8fbd85a32c13aba79db4b42e762c00687d6dbf9c8cb97b2a225645ccb00d
9d7580b383c885cdfd07df448d55e06f50f6173405eee5506c0ed0851ff718d13e68
ProofRandomScalar = 350e8040f828bf6ceca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba
51943c8026877963
Output = 193a92520bd8fd1f37accb918040a57108daa110dc4f659abe212636d24
5c592, 1e6d164cfd835d88a31401623549bf6b9b306628ef03a7962921d62bc5ffc
8c

```

A.4. P384-SHA384

A.4.1. OPRF Mode

```

Seed = a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3
3a3
KeyInfo = 74657374206b6579
skSm = dfe7ddc41a4646901184f2b432616c8ba6d452f9bcd0c4f75a5150ef2b2ed
02ef40b8b92f60ae591bcabd72a6518f188

```

A.4.1.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```

Input = 00
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364
BlindedElement = 02a36bc90e6db34096346eaf8b7bc40ee1113582155ad379700
3ce614c835a874343701d3f2debbd80d97cbe45de6e5f1f
EvaluationElement = 03af2a4fc94770d7a7bf3187ca9cc4faf3732049eded2442
ee50fbddda58b70ae2999366f72498cdb43e6f2fc184afe30
Output = ed84ad3f31a552f0456e58935fcc0a3039db42e7f356dcb32aa6d487b6b
815a07d5813641fb1398c03ddab5763874357

```


A.4.2.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```

Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364
BlindedElement = 02f27469e059886f221be5f2cca03d2bdc61e55221721c3b3e5
6fc012e36d31ae5f8dc058109591556a6bdb3a8c69c433b
EvaluationElement = 03f16f903947035400e96b7f531a38d4a07ac89a80f89d86
a1bf089c525a92c7f4733729ca30c56ce78b1ab4f7d92db8b4
Proof = d005d6daaad7571414c1e0c75f7e57f2113ca9f4604e84bc90f9be52da89
6fff3bee496dcde2a578ae9df315032585f801fb21c6080ac05672b291e575a40295
b306d967717b28e08fcc8ad1cab47845d16af73b3e643ddcc191208e71c64630
ProofRandomScalar = 803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb739f56f9db001266677f62
c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b1
Output = b91c70ea3d4d62ba922eb8a7d03809a441e1c3c7af915cbc2226f485213
e895942cd0f8580e6d99f82221e66c40d274f

```

A.4.2.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```

Input = 00, 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 504650f53df8f16f6861633388936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562
889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7ad364, 803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb739f5
6f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b1
BlindedElement = 02d338c05cbebc82de13d6700f09cb61190543a7b7e2c6cd4fc
a56887e564ea82653b27fdad383995ea6d02cf26d0e24d9, 02fa02470d7f151018b4
1e82223c32fad824de6ad4b5ce9f8e9f98083c9a726de9a1fc39d7a0cb6f4f188dd9
cea01474cd
EvaluationElement = 02a7bba589b3e8672aa19e8fd258de2e6aae20101c8d7612
46de97a6b5ee9cf105febce4327a326255a3c604f63f600ef6, 028e9e115625ff4c2
f07bf87ce3fd73fc77994a7a0c1df03d2a630a3d845930e2e63a165b114d98fe34e6
1b68d23c0b50a
Proof = 6d8dcdb2fc95550a02211fb78afd013933f307d21e7d855b0b1ed0af7807
6d8137ad8b0a1bfa05676d325249c1dbb9a52bd81b1c2b7b0efc77cf7b278e1c947f
6283f1d4c513053fc0ad19e026fb0c30654b53d9cea4b87b037271b5d2e2d0ea
ProofRandomScalar = a097e722ed2427de86966910acba9f5c350e8040f828bf6c
eca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba51943c8026877963
Output = 3333230886b562ffb8329a8be08fea8025755372817ec969d114d1203d0
26b4a622beab60220bf19078bca35a529b35c, b91c70ea3d4d62ba922eb8a7d03809
a441e1c3c7af915cbc2226f485213e895942cd0f8580e6d99f82221e66c40d274f

```

A.4.3. POPRF Mode

```

Seed = a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3
3a3
KeyInfo = 74657374206b6579
skSm = 5b2690d6954b8fbb159f19935d64133f12770c00b68422559c65431942d72
1ff79d47d7a75906c30b7818ec0f38b7fb2
pkSm = 02f00f0f1de81e5d6cf18140d4926ffdc9b1898c48dc49657ae36eb1e45de
b8b951aaf1f10c82d2eaa6d02aafa3f10d2b6

```


A.5.1.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```

Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 00d1dccf7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 0300c28e57e74361d87e0c1874e5f7cc1cc796d61f9cad50427
cf54655cdb455613368d42b27f94bf66f59f53c816db3e95e68e1b113443d66a99b3
693bab88afb556b
EvaluationElement = 0301ad453607e12d0cc11a3359332a40c3a254eaa1afc642
96528d55bed07ba322e72e22cf3bcb50570fd913cb54f7f09c17aff8787af75f6a7f
af5640cbb2d9620a6e
Output = ad1f76ef939042175e007738906ac0336bbd1d51e287ebaa66901abdd32
4ea3ffa40bfc5a68e7939c2845e0fd37a5a6e76dad9907c6cc8579629757fd4d04b
a
  
```

A.5.2. VOPRF Mode

```

Seed = a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a3a
3a3
KeyInfo = 74657374206b6579
skSm = 015c7fc1b4a0b1390925bae915bd9f3d72009d44d9241b962428aad5d13f2
2803311e7102632a39addc61ea440810222715c9d2f61f03ea424ec9ab1fe5e31cf9
238
pkSm = 0301505d646f6e4c9102451eb39730c4ba1c4087618641edbdba4a60896b0
7fd0c9414ce553cbf25b81dfcca50a8f6724ab7a2bc4d0cf736967a287bb6084cc06
78ac0
  
```

A.5.2.1. Test Vector 1, Batch Size 1

```

Input = 00
Blind = 00d1dccf7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 0301d6e4fb545e043ddb6aee5d5ceeee1b44102615ab04430c2
7dd0f56988dedcb1df32ef384f160e0e76e718605f14f3f582f9357553d153b99679
5b4b3628a4f6380
EvaluationElement = 03013fdeaf887f3d3d283a79e696a54b66ff0edcb559265e
204a958acf840e0930cc147e2a6835148d8199eebc26c03e9394c9762a1c991dde40
bca0f8ca003eefb045
Proof = 0077fcc8ec6d059d7759b0a61f871e7c1dadcc65333502e09a51994328f79
e5bda3357b9a4f410a1760a3612c2f8f27cb7cb032951c047cc66da60da583df7b24
7edd0188e5eb99c71799af1d80d643af16ffa1545acd9e9233fbb370455b10eb257e
a12a1667c1b4ee5b0ab7c93d50ae89602006960f083ca9adc4f6276c0ad60440393c
ProofRandomScalar = 015e80ae32363b32cb76ad4b95a5a34e46bb803d955f0e07
3a04aa5d92b3fb739f56f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698c
e45c405d1348b7b1
Output = 5e003d9b2fb540b3d4bab5fedd154912246da1ee5e557afd8f56415faa1
a0fadff6517da802ee254437e4f60907b4cda146e7ba19e249ee77be405549f62954
b
  
```

A.5.2.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```

Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 00d1dccb7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 03005b05e656cb609ce5fff5faf063bb746d662d67bbd07c0626
38396f52f0392180cf2365cabb0ece8e19048961d35eeae5d5fa872328dce98df076
ee154dd191c615e
EvaluationElement = 0301b19fcf482b1fff04754e282292ed736c5f0aa080d4f4
2663cd3a416c6596f03129e8e096d8671fe5b0d19838312c511d2ce08d431e43e3ef
06199d8cab7426238d
Proof = 01ec9fece444caa6a57032e8963df0e945286f88fbdf233fb5101f0924f7
ea89c47023f5f72f240e61991fd33a299b5b38c45a5e2dd1a67b072e59dfe86708a3
59c701e38d383c60cf6969463bcf13251bedad47b7941f52e409a3591398e2792441
0b18a301c0e19f527cad504fa08388050ac634e1b05c5216d337742f2754e1fc502f
ProofRandomScalar = 015e80ae32363b32cb76ad4b95a5a34e46bb803d955f0e07
3a04aa5d92b3fb739f56f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698c
e45c405d1348b7b1
Output = fa15eebba81ecf40954f7135cb76f69ef22c6bae394d1a4362f9b03066b
54b6604d39f2e53369ca6762a3d9787e230e832aa85955af40ecb8deebb009a8cf47
4

```

A.5.2.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```

Input = 00, 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Blind = 00d1dccb7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364, 015e80ae32363b32cb76ad4b95a5a34e46bb803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb7
39f56f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b
1
BlindedElement = 0301d6e4fb545e043ddb6aae5d5ceeee1b44102615ab04430c2
7dd0f56988dedcb1df32ef384f160e0e76e718605f14f3f582f9357553d153b99679
5b4b3628a4f6380, 0301403b597538b939b450c93586ba275f9711ba07e42364bac1
d5769c6824a8b55be6f9a536df46d952b11ab2188363b3d6737635d9543d4dba14a6
e19421b9245bf5
EvaluationElement = 03013fdeaf887f3d3d283a79e696a54b66ff0edcb559265e
204a958acf840e0930cc147e2a6835148d8199eebc26c03e9394c9762a1c991dde40
bca0f8ca003eefb045, 03001f96424497e38c46c904978c2fa1636c5c3dd2e634a85
d8a7265977c5dce1f02c7e6c118479f0751767b91a39ccea6561998258591b5d7c1bb
02445a9e08e4f3e8d
Proof = 00b4d215c8405e57c7a4b53398caf55f1f1623aaeb22408ddb9ea2913090
9b3f95dbb1ff366e81e86e918f9f2fd8b80dbb344cd498c9499d112905e585417e00
68c600fe5dea18b389ef6c4cc062935607b8ccbbb9a84fba3143868a3e8a58efa0bf
6ca642804d09dc06e980f64837811227c4267b217f1099a4e28b0854f4e5ee659796
ProofRandomScalar = 01ec21c7bb69b0734cb48dfd68433dd93b0fa097e722ed24
27de86966910acba9f5c350e8040f828bf6ceca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba
51943c8026877963
Output = 5e003d9b2fb540b3d4bab5fedd154912246da1ee5e557afd8f56415faa1
a0fadff6517da802ee254437e4f60907b4cda146e7ba19e249eef7be405549f62954
b, fa15eebba81ecf40954f7135cb76f69ef22c6bae394d1a4362f9b03066b54b6604
d39f2e53369ca6762a3d9787e230e832aa85955af40ecb8deebb009a8cf474

```


A.5.3.2. Test Vector 2, Batch Size 1

```

Input = 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 00d1dccf7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364
BlindedElement = 030112ea89cf9cf589496189eafc5f9eb13c9f9e170d6ecde7c
5b940541cb1a9c5cfeec908b67efe16b81ca00d0ce216e34b3d5f46a658d3fd8573d
671bdb6515ed508
EvaluationElement = 0200ebc49df1e6fa61f412e6c391e6f074400eccdd2f56c4a
8c03fe0f91d9b551f40d4b5258fd891952e8c9b28003bcfa365122e54a5714c8949d
5d202767b31b4bf1f6
Proof = 0082162c71a7765005cae202d4bd14b84dae63c29067e886b82506992bd9
94a1c3aac0c1c5309222fe1af8287b6443ed6df5c2e0b0991fadd3564c73c7597ae
cd9a003b1f1e3c65f28e58ab4e767cfb4adbcacf512441645f4c2aed8bf67d132d966
006d35fa71a34145414bf3572c1de1a46c266a344dd9e22e7fb1e90ffba1caf556d9
ProofRandomScalar = 015e80ae32363b32cb76ad4b95a5a34e46bb803d955f0e07
3a04aa5d92b3fb739f56f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698c
e45c405d1348b7b1
Output = 27032e24b1a52a82ab7f4646f3c5df0f070f499db98b9c5df33972bd5af
5762c3638afae7912a6c1acdb1ae2ab2fa670bd5486c645a0e55412e08d33a4a0d6e
3

```

A.5.3.3. Test Vector 3, Batch Size 2

```

Input = 00, 5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a5a
Info = 7465737420696e666f
Blind = 00d1dccf7a51bafaf75d4a866d53d8cafe4d504650f53df8f16f68616333
88936ea23338fa65ec36e0290022b48eb562889d89dbfa691d1cde91517fa222ed7a
d364, 015e80ae32363b32cb76ad4b95a5a34e46bb803d955f0e073a04aa5d92b3fb7
39f56f9db001266677f62c095021db018cd8cbb55941d4073698ce45c405d1348b7b
1
BlindedElement = 020095cff9d7ecf65bdfee4ea92d6e748d60b02de34ad98094f
82e25d33a8bf50138ccc2cc633556f1a97d7ea9438cbb394df612f041c485a515849
d5ebb2238f2f0e2, 0201a328cf9f3fdeb86b6db242dd4cbb436b3a488b70b72d2fbb
d1e5f50d7b0878b157d6f278c6a95c488f3ad52d6898a421658a82fe7ceb000b01ae
dea7967522d525
EvaluationElement = 0301408e9c5be3ffcc1c16e5ae8f8aa68446223b0804b119
62e856af5a6d1c65ebbb5db7278c21db4e8cc06d89a35b6804fb1738a295b691638a
f77aa1327253f26d01, 020062ab51ac3aa829e0f5b7ae50688bcf5f63a18a83a6e0d
a538666b8d50c7ea2b4ef31f4ac669302318dbebe46660acdda695da30c22cee7ca2
1f6984a720504502e
Proof = 00731738844f739bca0cca9d1c8bea204bed4fd00285785738b985763741
de5cdfa275152d52b6a2fdf7792ef3779f39ba34581e56d62f78ecad5b7f8083f384
961501cd4b43713253c022692669cf076b1d382ecd8293c1de69ea569737f37a2477
2ab73517983c1e3db5818754ba1f008076267b8058b6481949ae346cdc17a8455fe2
ProofRandomScalar = 01ec21c7bb69b0734cb48dfd68433dd93b0fa097e722ed24
27de86966910acba9f5c350e8040f828bf6ceca27405420cdf3d63cb3aef005f40ba
51943c8026877963
Output = 808ae5b87662eaaf0b39151dd85991b94c96ef214cb14a68bf5c1439548
82d330da8953a80eea20788e552bc8bbbfff3100e89f9d6e341197b122c46a208733
b, 27032e24b1a52a82ab7f4646f3c5df0f070f499db98b9c5df33972bd5af5762c36
38afae7912a6c1acdb1ae2ab2fa670bd5486c645a0e55412e08d33a4a0d6e3

```

Acknowledgements

This document resulted from the work of the Privacy Pass team [[PrivacyPass](#)]. The authors would also like to acknowledge helpful conversations with Hugo Krawczyk. Eli-Shaoul Khedouri provided additional review and comments on key consistency. Daniel Bourdrez, Tatiana Bradley, Sofia Celi, Frank Denis, Julia Hesse, Russ Housley, Kevin Lewi, Christopher Patton, and Bas Westerbaan also provided helpful input and contributions to the document.

Authors' Addresses

Alex Davidson

Brave Software

Email: alex.davidson92@gmail.com

Armando Faz-Hernandez

Cloudflare, Inc.

101 Townsend St

San Francisco, CA

United States of America

Email: armfazh@cloudflare.com

Nick Sullivan

Cloudflare, Inc.

101 Townsend St

San Francisco, CA

United States of America

Email: nicholas.sullivan+ietf@gmail.com

Christopher A. Wood

Cloudflare, Inc.

101 Townsend St

San Francisco, CA

United States of America

Email: caw@heapingbits.net